



Effect of Plant Growth Regulator on Growth, Yield, Quality and Economic of Summer Squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L. Var. Pattypan) in Mid Hills of Uttarakhand

Ankita Dobhal ^a, S. C. Pant ^b, J. C. Chandola ^{c*},
Anita Panwar ^a and Vijay Kumar ^c

^a Department of Agroforestry, College of Forestry, Ranichauri, Tehri Garhwal, VCSG UHF, Bharsar, Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand, India.

^b Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Bharsar, Pauri Garhwal, VCSG UHF, Bharsar, Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand, India.

^c Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Saran, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242708

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95263>

Original Research Article

Received: 23/10/2022
Accepted: 28/12/2022
Published: 31/12/2022

ABSTRACT

Field study on the Effect of Plant Growth Regulator on Growth and Yield of Summer Squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L. Var. Pattypan) was conducted during 2017 (summer season). Ten treatments of plant growth regulator consist of T₁ Control; T₂ Ethrel 150 ppm; T₃ Ethrel 200 ppm; T₄ Ethrel @

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jitendraccm87@gmail.com;

250 ppm; T₅ Gibberellic acid (GA3) @ 25 ppm; T₆ Gibberellic acid (GA3) @ 50 ppm; T₇ Gibberellic acid (GA3) @ 75 ppm; T₈ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 50 ppm; T₉ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 100 ppm; T₁₀ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 150 ppm were tried in Randomized Complete Block Design having three replications. Results revealed that the maximum number of male flowers per plant (13.63) was found under T₅ that was closely followed by T₄, T₇, T₃ and the lowest number of male flowers per plant (7.06) in T₉. In contrast, the maximum number of female flowers per plant (7.86) was found under T₉ while the lowest number of female flowers per plant (4.76) was found under T₇. The lowest sex ratio (1.26) was observed with T₉, while the highest sex ratio (2.43) was observed with T₆. The maximum fruit set percent (71.58) were found under T₈ that was statistically identical by T₁₀: Naphthalene acetic acid 150 ppm while the minimum fruit set (49.02) were found under T₂. Different plant growth regulators showed a statistically significant variation on number of fruits per plant. The maximum (6.03) fruits per plant in number were recorded under T₉ and the minimum fruits per plant were recorded in T₂. The yield of summer squash was recorded the maximum (403.75 q ha⁻¹) in treatment T₄ which was closely followed by T₁₀. The quality parameters *i.e.*, TSS (4.66) and shelf life (114.6 days) of summer squash was determined the maximum in T₈ as compared to other treatments. The economics of using different growth regulators revealed that the benefit cost ratio was the maximum with Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 100 ppm (T₉) followed by Ethrel 250 ppm (T₄). The overall results showed that the effective treatment for increasing femaleness and yield of Summer Squash was Ethrel (250 ppm) with the satisfactory benefit cost ratio (4.23).

Keywords: Summer squash; growth regulator; quality parameters; yield; benefit cost ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

Summer Squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) is one of the most important vegetable crop. It belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family. It is an annual monoecious herb *i.e.* it bears male and female flowers on the same plant. Male flowers are formed first, then the female flowers. Female flowers are characterized by an undeveloped fruit at the base. "The sex expression of Summer Squash is determined by genetics as well as environment factors (e.g., photoperiod, temperature etc). Because of low temperatures and short photoperiods in early spring, the Summer Squash cultivated in spring usually have more female flowers and fewer male flowers. But later in the season because of high temperatures and long photoperiods, Summer Squash usually exhibit more male flowers and fewer female flowers. This will cause decreases of its fruit yield. Many kinds of plant growth regulator have been used in modification of sex expression in cucurbits [1,2]. Growth regulators can change the sex ratio and series if utilized at the two-or four-leaf stage, which is the ticklish stage at which the extinction or buildup of either sex is potential [3]. Employment of any one of chemicals, or manipulating temperature and/or illumination will cause a change of sex expression in Summer Squash. However, manipulating temperature and/or illumination is more difficult than applying chemicals. Although the femaleness ratio in Squash and other monoecious cucurbit crops is

mainly subjected to genetic factors, their flowering habit may be greatly varied under different environmental conditions. The most environmental factor clearly affected Squash sex ratio, are high temperature, photoperiod and nitrogen availability during early growth stage in addition to application of exogenous growth regulator [4]. Growth regulators are regarded as one of the most important treatments, used nowadays in agriculture, which in most cases modify the plant growth and the subsequent fruiting. Growth regulators are dignify as one of the most substantial treatments, applied nowadays in farming, which in maximum status amend the plant growth and the following fruiting. They are utilized to catalyze seed germination, vegetative growth, flowering and fruiting in several vegetable crops. Available determination confirms that best yield can be accomplished by utilizing different concentrations of growth regulators.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Study site characteristics

The field experiment was conducted at Vegetable Demonstration and Research Block, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Bharsar, VCSG Uttarakhand.

University of Horticulture and Forestry, Bharsar. And is located between 29° 20' - 29° 75' N Latitude and 78° 10' - 78° 80' E Longitude. In general, the climate of the Bharsar represents the mild summer, higher precipitation and colder or severe cold prolonged winter. The South-East monsoon commences towards the end of June while the North-East monsoon causes occasional winter showers from November to February. During winter, snowfall is common in this region. During summer months, the valley has hot climate prevailing for few hours in a day, the maximum temperature during May-June is recorded between 30 °C - 35 °C however, nights are cool. December and January are the coldest months; the minimum temperature reaches to 1°C to - 4°C. Relative humidity is normally highest during rainy season (July - August), often recorded near to saturation point (92 - 97%) and it gradually decreases towards December.

2.1.2 Treatments and experimental design

The experiment consisted of 10 treatments having different concentrations of Ethrel, Gibberellic acid and Naphthalene acetic acid viz., T1 Control; T2 Ethrel 150 ppm; T3 Ethrel 200 ppm; T4 Ethrel @ 250 ppm; T5 Gibberellic acid (GA3) @ 25 ppm; T6 Gibberellic acid (GA3) @ 50 ppm; T7 Gibberellic acid (GA3) @ 75 ppm; T8 Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 50 ppm; T9 Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 100 ppm; T10 Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 150 ppm. The experiment was laid out in the Randomized Complete Block Design. The treatments were replicated thrice in a plot having dimensions 3 m x 1.8 m and a spacing of 1.0 m between rows and 0.6 m between plants was followed. Cultivar 'Pattypan' of Summer Squash was chosen for the present study. Observations were recorded on growth parameters at the time of harvesting.

2.1.3 Nursery raising, field preparation and transplanting

To raise the seedling, polythene bags were filled with compost mixed soil before seed sowing. Sowing of seeds was done in polythene bags and two seeds were sown in each bag. Thereafter, light irrigation was done through water can. After sowing of seeds, the polythene bags were regularly irrigated till the seedlings were ready for transplanting. Hand weeding and plant protection measure were taken as and when required.

The field was ploughed thoroughly and harrowed twice. Thereafter field was leveled properly and plots were prepared according to the layout plan.

In field, raised beds were prepared to raise the crop. When the seedlings attained 4 leaves and hard enough, they were transplanted in the main field.

2.1.4 Application of growth regulators

The plant growth regulators, as per the treatments, were applied as a foliar spray with the help of hand sprayer. Care was taken to avoid spraying of one solution to other treatments. While spraying, plastic sheet was held as a barrier in between two treatments. The sprayer was washed carefully with distilled water after the application of every concentration of the solution.

2.1.5 Cultural operations

The plots were kept free from weeds by periodic hand weeding. The crop was irrigated periodically, depending upon the requirements. All the cultural practices were kept uniform among all treatments.

2.1.6 Harvesting

The fruits were harvested when they attained maturity and full growth.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Yield attributes, yield and quality observation

Five competitive plants were be randomly selected from each treatment and tagged for recording data on different characters. Average mean was calculated for the different characters from the five sampled plants of each for various statistical analyses. The data was collected for the following characters:

Yield attributes: Number of male flower per plant was counted from first female flower appearance. Total number of male flowers was recorded from five plants of each treatment. Number of female flower per plant was counted from first female flower appearance. Total number of female flowers was recorded from five plants of each treatment. The sex-ratio (Male: Female) was worked out on the basis of total number of male and female flowers of selected plants. Fruit set per cent was calculated from the number of fruits to the number of female flowers produced per plant as follows:

Fruit set per cent = No. of fruits per plant / No. of female flowers per plant × 100

Number of fruit was counted from first harvest stage to last harvest. The total number of fruits per plant was counted and average number of fruit was recorded.

The average weight of five fruits was calculated after the picking as per the formula given below

$$\text{Average Fruit Weight (g)} = \frac{\text{Total weight of fruits (kg)}}{\text{Number of fruits}}$$

Yield: Fruit weight recorded from all pickings from each plant was pooled and fruit yield per plant was calculated. To estimate yield, all the five plants in every plot and all the fruits in every harvest were considered. Thus the average yield per plot was measured. Yield per hectare was calculated on the basis of plot yield. The one hectare area was divided by plot area and multiplied by average yield of plot and expressed in q/ha.

Quality: The total soluble solids (TSS) content in fruits was determined by Erma Hand Refractometer (0 to 32 °Brix). The refractometer was calibrated with distilled water before use and then a few drops of fruit juice were placed on the prism and the reading was recorded. A temperature correction was applied when it was above or below 20°C [5]. The results were expressed in °Brix. Shelf life of Fruit was estimated by keeping the fruit at ambient room temperature, conditions till they shrunk and become unfit for consumption.

Economic analysis: Cost of cultivation was calculated on the basis of prevailing local charges for different inputs like labourer, implements, seeds, growth regulators, fertilizers and other chemicals, used in cultivation of crops under different treatments. Benefit cost (B : C) ratio was calculated by dividing the net return by total cost of production.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out for each observed character under the study using MS-Excel, OPSTATE. The mean values of data were subjected to analysis of variance and ANOVA was set as per [6] for Randomized Complete Block Design.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield Attributes and Yield

The present study was undertaken to study the effect of plant growth regulators on yield of

summer squash. It was significantly recorded plant growth regulators on number of male flowers per plant, number of female flowers per plant, sex ratio, number of fruits per plant, average fruit weight, yield per plant yield per plot and yield per hectare of summer squash as shown in Table 1. Maximum numbers of male flowers per plant were found in T7 (Gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm) 13.63, while lowest number of male flowers per plant (7.06) were found in T1 (Control). It may be because GA treatments alone stimulated vegetative extension and shifted sex expression toward maleness.

The findings are in close conformity with the findings of [7] in Cucumber. Ethrel has been reported to increase female flower production in cucurbits. In present study also, it produced significantly more number of female flowers than control. The maximum numbers of female flowers per plant were found in T4 (Ethrel @ 250 ppm) 7.86, while the lowest number of female flowers per plant were found in T1 (Control) 4.76. Such results have been attributed to the physiological and biochemical changes caused by ethylene released in the plant tissues by the exogenous application of Ethrel [8]. Also it may be because Ethrel is known to suppress male flower production and increase that of female flowers in number of cucurbits. Similar finding were reported by [9] in Sponge gourd and [10] in Musk melon. The lowest sex ratio was found in treatment T4 (Ethrel @ 250 ppm) i.e., 1.26, while highest sex ratio was found in treatment T4 (Gibberellic acid @ 75 ppm) i.e., 2.43. Higher levels of GA favor vegetative extension and male sex expression; however, high levels of Ethrel tend to inhibit vegetative growth and induce female sex expression thereby increase in number of female flowers and decrease in number of male flower production due to Ethrel resulted into lower sex ratio (male to female). The findings are in close conformity with the findings of [11] in oil pumpkin. Maximum fruit set (71.58) were found under T10 (Naphthalene acetic acid @ 100 ppm), while the minimum fruit set (49.02) were found under T1 (Control). Similar finding were reported by [12] in cucumber. The maximum fruits per plant (4.06) was recorded under T4 (Ethrel @ 150 ppm) and the minimum fruits per plant (2.00) were recorded in T1 (Control). Ethrel increases the number of flowers/plant and finally lead to high number of fruits and yield. The findings are in close conformity with the findings of [13] in Summer Squash. The Highest yield per plant and yield per hectare was obtained in treatment T4

(Ethrel @ 250 ppm) i.e., 2.85 kg and 403.75 q ha⁻¹, respectively and minimum yield per plant and yield per hectare was found in treatment T1 (Control) 1.50 kg and 212.50 q ha⁻¹, respectively. The increases in the yield may be due to increase in number of female flowers, number of fruits, average fruit weight and the lower sex ratio. The findings are in close conformity with the findings of [9] in sponge gourd and [14] in pumpkin.

3.2 Quality

Plant growth regulators had non-significant influence on TSS (Fig. 1) and shelf life (Fig. 2) of summer squash. However, the maximum TSS and shelf life was determined in treatment T8

(Naphthalene acetic acid 50 ppm) i.e., 4.66 and 114.6 days, respectively.

3.3 Economic Profitability

Economic profitability (Table 2) in terms of net return was obtained under treatment T9 (Rs. 343953 ha⁻¹) which was closely followed by treatment T4 (Rs. 301952 ha⁻¹) and the minimum net return was obtained in treatment T1 (Rs. 108944 ha⁻¹). Similarly, the highest B: C ratio was recorded in treatment T9 (Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 100 ppm) i.e., 4.85 which was closely followed by treatment T4. This was due to higher yield resulting in higher net returns. The findings are in close conformity with the findings of [15] in Summer Squash.

Table 1. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth attributes of summer squash

Treatments	Male flower (No.)	Female flower (No.)	Sex ratio	Fruit set (%)	No. of fruits	Fruit weight (Av.)	Yield (Plant ⁻¹)
T ₁	7.06	4.76	1.86	49.02	2.00	295.67	1.50
T ₂	10.60	6.00	1.82	54.32	3.00	226.33	2.33
T ₃	9.26	7.70	1.83	68.30	3.03	619.00	2.40
T ₄	8.40	7.86	1.26	71.19	4.06	645.67	2.85
T ₅	10.80	5.10	1.71	49.22	2.80	241.00	2.24
T ₆	11.56	5.00	2.30	50.68	2.86	432.00	2.10
T ₇	13.63	5.36	2.43	52.17	2.00	438.00	1.98
T ₈	10.56	5.46	2.12	50.21	3.13	219.33	2.05
T ₉	9.93	5.73	1.58	51.92	3.26	229.67	2.30
T ₁₀	8.80	6.00	1.55	71.58	3.70	300.33	2.50
LSD_(0.05)	3.10	1.80	0.62	16.99	1.22	233.58	0.12

T₁ Control; T₂ Ethrel 150 ppm; T₃ Ethrel 200 ppm; T₄ Ethrel @ 250 ppm; T₅ Gibberellic acid (GA₃) @ 25 ppm; T₆ Gibberellic acid (GA₃) @ 50 ppm; T₇ Gibberellic acid (GA₃) @ 75 ppm; T₈ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 50 ppm; T₉ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 100 ppm; T₁₀ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 150 ppm

Table 2. Effect of plant growth regulators on economics of summer squash

Treatments	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Cost of production (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Gross Return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	B:C
T ₁	212.50	68816	177760	108944	1.58
T ₂	330.08	70402	279750	209348	2.97
T ₃	340.00	70748	237760	167012	2.36
T ₄	403.75	71068	373760	301952	4.23
T ₅	317.33	74046	363230	289184	3.90
T ₆	297.96	78675	250120	171445	2.18
T ₇	280.50	83305	277000	193695	2.32
T ₈	290.41	70157	289880	219723	3.13
T ₉	325.83	70897	414850	343953	4.85
T ₁₀	354.16	71638	287440	215802	3.01
LSD_(0.05)	18.25	2540	32850	28750	0.64

T₁ Control; T₂ Ethrel 150 ppm; T₃ Ethrel 200 ppm; T₄ Ethrel @ 250 ppm; T₅ Gibberellic acid (GA₃) @ 25 ppm; T₆ Gibberellic acid (GA₃) @ 50 ppm; T₇ Gibberellic acid (GA₃) @ 75 ppm; T₈ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 50 ppm; T₉ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 100 ppm; T₁₀ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 150 ppm




Fig. 1. Effect of plant growth regulators on TSS of summer squash. Vertical bars indicate \pm S.E. of mean of the observed values




Fig. 2. Effect of plant growth regulators on shelf life of summer squash. Vertical bars indicate \pm S.E. of mean of the observed values

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, plant growth regulators had the positive effect up to a certain limit on the sex modification, fruit set and yield of summer squash. Different plant growth regulators showed a statistically significant variation on number of fruits per plant. The maximum (6.03) fruits per plant in number were recorded under T9 and the minimum fruits per plant were recorded in T2. The yield of summer squash was recorded the maximum (403.75 q ha⁻¹) in treatment T4 which was closely followed by T10. The quality parameters i.e., TSS (4.66) and shelf life (114.6 days) of summer squash was determined the maximum in T8 as compared to other treatments. The economics of using different growth regulators revealed that the B: C ratio was the maximum with Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 100 ppm (T9).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The support of Department of Vegetable Science, VCSG Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Bharsar, Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand for providing basic infrastructure for this study is duly acknowledged.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ilozi RN, Rodrigues JD, Goto R, Ono EO. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit set in squash (*Cucurbita pepo* var. *melo pepo*) in a protected environment. *Revista Ceres*. 2000;47(273):561-566.
2. Thappa M, Kumar S, Rafiq R. Influence of plant growth regulators on morphological, floral and yield traits of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Kesetsart Journal of Research*. 2011;45:177-188.
3. Hossain D, Karim M A, Pramanik M H R, Rahman A A M. Effect of gibberellic acid (GA3) on flowering and fruit development of bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.). *International Journal of Botany*. 2006;2:329-332.
4. Atta-Aly MA. Soaking summer squash seeds in low concentrations of cobalt solution before sowing increased plant growth, femaleness and fruit yield via increasing plant ethylene level. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulator*. 1998;17:25-32.
5. AOAC. Official and tentative methods of analysis. Association of official Analytical Chemists. William Star Wetglad. Washington. 1970;995-987.
6. Gomez K A, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. 1984;357-427.
7. Kshirsagar BB, Desai UT, Patil BT, Pawar BG. Effect of plant growth regulators on sex expression and fruiting in cucumber cv. Himangi. *Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture University*. 1993;20(3):473-74.
8. Arora SK, Pandita ML, Sidhu AS. Effect of various plant growth regulators on vegetative growth, sex expression and fruit yield in summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo*). *Haryana Agriculture University Journal of Research*. 1982;12(4):598-604.
9. Valia RZ, Sitapara HH, Mahida SV. Growth, yield and sex-expression as influenced by plant growth regulators in sponge gourd. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2015;10(1):122-125.
10. Sulikeri G S, Bhandary K R. Studies on sex expression in muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.) as influenced by ethrel (2-chloroethyl phosphonic acid) treatment. *Current Research*. 1973; 2(7):50-51.
11. Sure S, Arooie H, Azizi M. Influence of plant growth regulator and planting method on growth and yield in oil pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* var. *Styriaca*). *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. 2012;4(2): 101- 107.
12. Choudhury B, Singh N. Chemical sex modification and its effect on fruiting of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) at three locations. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 1970;27(3/4):180-183.
13. Shafeek MR, Helmy YI, Ahmed AA, Ghoname AA. Effect of foliar application of growth regulators (GA3 and ethrel) on growth, sex expression and yield of summer squash plants (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) under plastic house condition. *International Journal of Chem Tech Research*. 2016;9 (6):70-76.
14. Ranjit C, Satya P. Sex expression and phenotypic performance of local pumpkin cultivars as influenced by ethrel application. *Environment and Ecology*. 2006;24(4):1161-63.

15. Singh R, Arora S K, Pandita M L, Kumar J. (Cucurbita pepo L.). Journal research, Effect of plant growth substances on earliness and yield of summer squash Haryana Agriculture University. 1989; 19(4):311-317.

© 2022 Dobhal et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95263>