

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

**11(12): 424-433, 2021; Article no.IJECC.78319 ISSN: 2581-8627** (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Effect of Different N and K Fertigation Levels of Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* var. *grossum* L.) as Influenced by Nutrient Content of Capsicum under Poly House

B. Gouthami<sup>a\*</sup>, M. Uma Devi<sup>a</sup>, K. Avil Kumar<sup>a</sup> and V. Ramulu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Water Technology Centre, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2021/v11i1230593 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Daniele De Wrachien, State University of Milan, Italy. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Ms Kancheti Mrunalini, ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, India. (2) Quoc-Khanh Huynh, Can Tho University, Vietnam. Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/78319</u>

**Original Research Article** 

Received 12 October 2021 Accepted 20 December 2021 Published 21 December 2021

# ABSTRACT

The experiment was carried out during *rabi* season of 2018-19 at Water Technology Centre, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad on capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* var. *grossum* L.) with respect to different nitrogen and potassium fertigation levels under poly house. The experiment comprised of three replications in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with two factors {*i.e.* N levels (4), K levels (3) } and twelve treatments Viz; N fertigation levels of 0 %, 120 % (216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), 150 % (270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), 180 % (324 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K fertigation levels of 0 %, 80 % (96 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>), 100% (120 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. The 100 % RDF was 180, 90 and 120 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. The source of N was urea, P was single super phosphate (SSP) and K was white muriate of potash (MOP). The N and K were applied through drip fertigation on every fourth day during different crop growth stages. In the fertigation programme, during crop establishment stage (10 DAT to 14 DAT), 10 % of N and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in two splits. During regetative stage, (15 to 46 DAT) 30 % of N and 20 % of K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in eight splits. During flower initiation to fruit development (47 DAT to 74 DAT) 20 % of N and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in seven splits. From fruit development till final harvesting stage (75 DAT to 154 DAT) 40 % of N and 50 % K<sub>2</sub>O were applied

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: basaraveni.gouthami123@gmail.com;

in 20 splits in a total of 37 splits. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture with low in available nitrogen (166.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (81.1 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) and low in available potassium (245.4 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>). Irrigation was scheduled at 0.8 Epan based on pan evaporation data. The total water applied to the crop was 414.8 mm. The total nitrogen content in capsicum shoots ranged from 3.41 to 4.57 %, 4.29 to 6.35 %, 4.43 to 6.67%, 4.76 to 6.86% and 4.53 to 6.58% at 30, 60, 90, and 120 and at final harvest respectively. In fruits, it ranged from 4.11 to 6.49 %, 3.92 to 6.35%, 4.01 to 6.25 % at 90, 120 and at final harvest respectively. The total phosphorus content in capsicum shoots ranged from 0.13 to 0.23 %, 0.42 to 0.92%, 0.14 to 0.33%, 0.12 to 0.24% and 0.12 to 0.20% at 30, 60, 90, 120 and at final harvest respectively. In fruits it ranged from 0.20 to 0.59 %, 0.24 to 0.57 %, 0.26 to 0.70 % at 90, 120 and at final harvest respectively. The total potassium content in capsicum shoots ranged from 0.87 to 1.83 %, 1.77 to 2.65%, 1.50 to 2.23%, 0.96 to 1.54% and 0.83 to 1.38% at 30, 60, 90, 120 and at final harvest respectively. In fruits it ranged from 1.02 to 1.47%, 0.98 to 1.35%, 0.93 to 1.28% at 90, 120 and at final harvest respectively.

Keywords: Capsicum; nutrient content (N, P and K) fertigation schedule; poly house; fertigation.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* var. *grossum* L.) also referred to as sweet or bell pepper is a highly priced vegetable crop both in the domestic and international market. It is a cool season crop occupying an area of 32,000 ha, producing 493 thousand metric tonnes of fruit yield in India. In Telangana it occupies an area of 150.2 ha, with 2873 metric tonnes production [1]. The major capsicum producing states in India are Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana, Jharkhand, Uttarakhand and Orissa. Capsicum is native to tropical South America and was introduced in India by the Portuguese in the middle of sixteenth century.

In India capsicum is grouped under nontraditional category of vegetables. Nutritionally it provides vitamin C (283 mg) and zinc, the two nutrients which are vital for a strong and healthy immune system. It also has high content of vitamin A, rutin (a bioflavonoid), ß carotene, iron [2]. And minerals like calcium (13.4 mg), magnesium (14.9 mg) phosphorus (28.3 mg), potassium (263.7 mg), energy (24 Kcal), protein (1.3g), carbohydrate (4.3g) and fat (0.3g) per 100 g fresh weight [3]. It also finds place in preparations like pizza stuffing's and burger with growing of fast food chain. The high market price is attributed to the heavy demand from the consumers. There is a good demand for export too. The export market has specific requirements like fruits with longer shelf life, medium sized fruits with attractive colour, mild pungency with good taste. It is also used in salad and soup preparation. A 100 g of edible portion of capsicum provides 24 kcal of energy, 1.3 g of protein, 4.3 g of carbohydrate and 0.3 g of fat [4].

In India, in spite of its great potential the vield per unit area is very low than that of developed countries, mainly due to lack of proper production technologies especially, the nutrient management. For harnessing higher yield, balanced application of nutrition is a prerequisite. The continuous application of chemical fertilizers alone without use of organic manures has deteriorated soil health in terms of chemical, physical and biological characters resulting in decline in crop yield. This indicates that sole application of organics or inorganic fertilizers are in no way a suitable solution for maintaining soil health and enhancing crop productivity. So the solution lies in the integrated use of chemical fertilizers and organic manures/sprays for obtaining sustainable crop production, better nutrient availability and efficient nutrient use, besides reducing nutrient losses [5] and improving fruit quality.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Horticultural Farm, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during *rabi* season of 2019-20. The study was initiated on Response of capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* var. *grossum* L.) to different nitrogen and potassium fertigation levels under poly house. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture with a pH of 7.6, electrical conductivity of 0.75 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, medium in organic carbon (0.7%), low in available nitrogen (166.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (81.1 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) and low in available potassium (245.4 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Capsicum (pasarella) seeds were sown in pro trays on 5<sup>th</sup> August 2019 and 35 days old

seedlings were transplanted on 10<sup>th</sup> September 2019 in a zig zag manner in a paired row pattern on raised beds. The experiment comprised of three replications in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with two factors { N levels (4), K levels (3)  $\}$  with twelve treatments Viz; T<sub>1</sub> -Control (No N, K<sub>2</sub>O), T<sub>2</sub> - N<sub>0</sub> (No fertilizer) + 80 % RD of K<sub>2</sub>O, T<sub>3</sub> - N<sub>0</sub> (No fertilizer) + 100 % RD of  $K_2O$ ,  $T_4$ -120 % RD of N +  $K_0$  (No fertilizer),  $T_5$ – 120 % RD of N + 80 % RD of K<sub>2</sub>O, T<sub>6</sub> – 120 % RD of N + 100 % RD of K<sub>2</sub>O, T<sub>7</sub> - 150 % RD of  $N + K_0$  (No fertilizer),  $T_8$  -150 % RD of N + 80 % RD of K2O, T<sub>9</sub>-150 % RD of N + 100 % RD of K<sub>2</sub>O, T<sub>10</sub> – 180 % RD of N + K<sub>0</sub> (No fertilizer), T<sub>11</sub> - 180 % RD of N + 80 % RD of K<sub>2</sub>O, T<sub>12</sub>- 180 % RD of N + 100 % RD of K<sub>2</sub>O. {The 100 % (RDF) was 180, 90 and 120 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>} The source of N is urea, P was single super phosphate (SSP) and K was white muriate of potash (MOP). A common dose of phosphorous was applied uniformly to all the treatments at basal.

The nitrogen and potassium were applied through fertigation by ventury, which was carried out at three day interval i.e., on every fourth day. In the fertigation programme during crop establishment stage (10 DAT to 14 DAT), 10 % of N and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in two splits. During vegetative stage, (15 to 46 DAT) 30 % of N and 20 % of K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in eight splits. During flower initiation to fruit development (47 DAT to 74 DAT) 20 % of N and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in seven splits. From fruit development and colour formation stage onwards till final stage (75 DAT -154 DAT) 40 % of N and 50 % K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in 20 splits. Then the fertigation schedule was completed in a total of 37 splits. In addition, the crop had received a common dose of 12.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost and 1.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> neem cake and 90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha and also waste decomposer, vermi wash sprays at every 15 days interval. Irrigation was scheduled based on 0.8 E pan and the total water applied through drip at 0.8 E pan (common to all the treatments) was 384.8 mm, water applied for nursery including special operations (bed preparation, wetting before transplanting) was 30.4 mm. The total water applied was 414.8 mm. The weight of mature fruits harvested from each picking was recorded till final harvest and total yield of fruits per hectare was computed and expressed in kg and tons per hectare.

Nitrogen content (%) in the plant samples was estimated by the micro Kjeldhal method (AOAC, 1965) using Kelplus Supra LX - analyser. The diacid digested plant samples were analyzed for phosphorus content by Vanado-molybdo phosphoric acid [6]. The intensity of yellow colour developed was measured by using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Make - Systronics, Model -108) at 420 nm. Potassium content in the di-acid was determined by using flame photometer (Make - Elico, Model - CL 361) [7]

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1 Nutrient Contents (N, P and K) in Shoots and Fruits of Capsicum

Nutrient content plays a major role in higher yield and quality of fruits. Data regarding total nitrogen content of capsicum in shoots at 30, 60 DAT and in shoot and fruit at 90 DAT is presented in Table 1. Data at 120 DAT and final harvest is presented in Table 2. The interaction effect of N and K fertigation levels on total nitrogen content at any stage was found to be non significant. In general, the total N content increased with increase in crop growth stage up to 150 DAS. The N content in the shoots was observed to be relatively more than in capsicum fruits.

#### 3.1.1 Total nitrogen content (%)

At 30 DAT, the total nitrogen content in shoot ranged from 3.41 to 4.57%. The highest total nitrogen content was noticed in  $N_{180}$  (4.12%) which was significantly superior over  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0$  and was statistically on par with  $N_{150}$  (4.01%). However  $N_{150}$ ,  $N_{120}$  and  $N_{120}$ ,  $N_0$  were on par with each other. The lowest N content was noticed in  $N_0$  (3.55%), whereas in potassium fertigation significantly higher N content was recorded with  $K_{100}$  (4.10%) compared to all other levels. The  $K_{80}$  (3.73%) and  $K_0$  (3.71%) were on par with each other.

At 60 DAT in shoots, among nitrogen fertigation levels,  $N_{180}$  (5.88%) recorded the highest N content compared to all other levels except  $N_{150}$  (5.51%) which was on par with  $N_{180}$ . Among potassium doses the highest N content was noticed in  $K_{100}$  (5.54%) whereas the lowest was observed in  $K_0$  (4.92%) it was on par with  $K_{80}$  (5.10%).

The total N content at 90 DAT in shoot and fruits revealed that  $N_{180}$  (6.18, 6.11%) recorded significantly higher value compared to  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0$  and was found to be on par with  $N_{150}$  (5.88, 5.71%). However in fruits  $N_{150}$  was on par with  $N_{120}$  (5.40%). The lowest N content was noticed in  $N_0$  (4.60, 4.57%) respectively. With regard to potassium fertigation levels the highest N content

was observed with  $K_{100}$  (5.87, 5.90%) in shoot and fruits respectively. Whereas the lowest was observed with  $K_0$  (5.1, 5.10%) and it was on par with  $K_{80}$ .

The total N content at 120 DAT in shoots ranged from 4.76% to 6.86% and it was significantly influenced by nitrogen fertigation levels. The highest N content was observed in N<sub>180</sub> (6.30%) which was significantly superior over all other levels except N<sub>150</sub> (6.02%). In fruits, it ranged from 3.92% to 6.35%. The highest N content was realized in N<sub>180</sub> (6.05%) it was doses significantly higher N content was noticed in K<sub>100</sub> (6.24 %, 5.62%) in shoot and fruit respectively. However K<sub>80</sub> (5.65, 5.19%) and K<sub>0</sub> (5.47, 4.90%) were on par with each other.

At final harvest the nitrogen content in shoots ranged from 4.53 to 6.58%. The highest N content was observed in  $N_{180}$  (6.13%) which was significantly higher over other levels of nitrogen and was statistically on par with  $N_{150}$  (5.90%). The lowest was noticed in  $N_0$  (4.78%). Among potassium levels significantly higher N content

was noticed with  $K_{100}$  (6.00%) while the lowest was observed in  $K_0$  (5.27%).

At final harvest the total N content in fruits ranged from 4.01% to 6.25%. However the highest N content was realized in N<sub>180</sub> (6.05%) it was followed by N<sub>150</sub> (5.72%). As concerned with potassium applications significantly higher N content was noticed in K<sub>100</sub> (5.66%) followed by K<sub>80</sub> (5.27%) and K<sub>0</sub> (4.98%).

#### 3.1.2 Total phosphorus content (%)

Data on total phosphorus content of capsicum in shoot at 30, 60 DAT and in shoot and fruit at 90 DAT is presented in Table 3 and at 120 DAT, final harvest is presented in Table 4. In general, higher P content was noticed in shoots at 60 DAS. The total P content was relatively higher in capsicum fruits than shoots. The fruit P content also recorded higher values with advancement of crop growth stage from 90 DAT to final harvest stage.

Table 1. Effect of N and K fertigation levels on nitrog	gen content (%) of capsicum under poly
house at 30, 60, 90 DAT (Shoot and f	ruit) during rabi 2019-20.

	3	0 DAT (%N)				6	0 DAT (%N)		
	Ko	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	3.41	3.55	3.69	3.55	No	4.29	4.48	4.53	4.43
N <sub>120</sub>	3.69	3.69	3.73	3.70	N <sub>120</sub>	4.71	4.85	5.23	4.93
N <sub>150</sub>	3.97	3.69	4.39	4.01	N <sub>150</sub>	5.18	5.27	6.07	5.51
N <sub>180</sub>	3.78	4.01	4.57	4.12	N <sub>180</sub>	5.51	5.79	6.35	5.88
Mean	3.71	3.73	4.10		Mean	4.92	5.10	5.54	
		C.D					C.D		
	S.E.m±	(P=0.05)				S.E.m±	(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.13	0.37			Ν	0.13	0.39		
Κ	0.11	0.32			Κ	0.12	0.34		
(N*K)	0.22	NS			(N*K)	0.23	NS		

90 DAT (%N)

		Shoot					Fruit		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	4.43	4.62	4.76	4.60	No	4.11	4.57	5.04	4.57
N <sub>120</sub>	4.90	4.76	5.51	5.06	N <sub>120</sub>	5.13	5.37	5.69	5.40
N <sub>150</sub>	5.41	5.69	6.53	5.88	N <sub>150</sub>	5.37	5.37	6.39	5.71
N <sub>180</sub>	5.83	6.02	6.67	6.18	N <sub>180</sub>	5.79	6.07	6.49	6.11
Mean	5.15	5.27	5.87		Mean	5.10	5.34	5.90	
		C.D					C.D		
	S.E.m ±	(P=0.05)				S.E.m ±	(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.11	0.32			Ν	0.17	0.51		
Κ	0.09	0.27			Κ	0.15	0.44		
(N*K)	0.19	NS			(N*K)	0.30	NS		

100% RDF = 180: 90: 120 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>,

N<sub>0</sub> – No Nitrogen, N<sub>120</sub> - 216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>150</sub> - 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>180</sub>- 324 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $K_0 - No$  potassium,  $K_{80}$  - 96 kg  $K_2$ O ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $K_{100}$  - 120 kg  $K_2$ O ha<sup>-1</sup>

	120 DAT (%N)									
		Shoot			Fruit					
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		Ko	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean	
No	4.76	5.13	5.51	5.13	No	3.92	4.20	4.53	4.22	
N <sub>120</sub>	5.55	5.65	5.88	5.69	N <sub>120</sub>	4.76	5.04	5.37	5.06	
N <sub>150</sub>	5.65	5.69	6.72	6.02	N <sub>150</sub>	5.09	5.55	6.25	5.63	
N <sub>180</sub>	5.93	6.11	6.86	6.30	N <sub>180</sub>	5.83	5.97	6.35	6.05	
Mean	5.47	5.65	6.24		Mean	4.90	5.19	5.62		
	S.E.m±	C.D					C.D			
		(P=0.05)				S.E.m±	(P=0.05)			
Ν	0.11	0.32			Ν	0.15	0.45			
Κ	0.09	0.28			Κ	0.13	0.39			
(N*K)	0.19	NS			(N*K)	0.27	NS			

Table 2. Effect of N and K fertigation levels of	on nitrogen cont	tent (%)	of capsicum	under poly
house at 120 DAT, final harvest (	(Shoot and fruit)	) during	y <i>rabi</i> 2019-20	

	Final harvest (%N)									
		Shoot					Fruit			
	Ko	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		Ko	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean	
No	4.53	4.71	5.09	4.78	No	4.01	4.15	4.76	4.31	
N <sub>120</sub>	5.23	5.41	5.83	5.49	N <sub>120</sub>	4.90	5.13	5.37	5.13	
N <sub>150</sub>	5.55	5.65	6.49	5.90	N <sub>150</sub>	5.18	5.74	6.25	5.72	
N <sub>180</sub>	5.79	6.02	6.58	6.13	N <sub>180</sub>	5.83	6.07	6.25	6.05	
Mean	5.27	5.45	6.00		Mean	4.98	5.27	5.66		
	S.E.m±	C.D				S.E.m±	C.D			
		(P=0.05)					(P=0.05)			
Ν	0.11	0.32			Ν	0.16	0.46			
Κ	0.10	0.28			Κ	0.14	0.40			
(N*K)	0.19	NS			(N*K)	0.27	NS			

100% RDF = 180: 90: 120 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>0</sub> –No Nitrogen, N<sub>120</sub> - 216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>150</sub> - 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>180</sub>- 324 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

K<sub>0</sub>-No potassium, K<sub>80</sub> - 96 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>100</sub> - 120 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

In shoot at 30 DAT, the total phosphorus content ranged from 0.13 to 0.23%. The highest total phosphorous content was noticed in  $N_{180}$  (0.22%) and it was on par with  $N_{150}$  (0.20%) which were significantly higher over all other levels. Among potassium doses, significant difference was not observed. However, K<sub>100</sub> recorded maximum value (0.19%), which was statistically on par with  $K_{80}$  (0.18%) followed by  $K_0$  (0.16%).

The total phosphorous content at 60 DAT ranged from 0.42% to 0.92%. However the highest total phosphorous content was realized in N<sub>180</sub> (0.81%) it was followed by N<sub>150</sub> (0.77%). With regard to potassium applications, significantly higher phosphorous content was noticed in K<sub>100</sub> (0.76 %) which was significantly higher than  $K_{80}$ (0.64%) and  $K_0$  (0.61%). However,  $K_{80}$  and  $K_0$ were on par with each other.

In shoot, at 90 DAT, the total phosphorus content varied differently with the nitrogen fertigation levels and it ranged from 0.14 to 0.32%. The highest total phosphorfollowed by  $N_{150}$  (5.63%). Among various potassium content was noticed in  $N_{120}$  (0.31%) which was significantly higher than all other levels. However  $N_{180}$  (0.21%) was statistically on par with  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0$  while the lowest total phosphorous content was noticed in  $N_{150}$  (0.15%). The  $K_{100}$  (0.23%) recorded the highest value among various potassium doses which was statistically on par with  $K_{80}$  and  $K_{0}$ . The lowest was realized with  $K_0$  (0.22%).

At 90 DAT in fruits it ranged from 0.20% to 0.59%. The highest total phosphorous content was noticed in  $N_{180}$  (0.55%) which was significantly higher than other levels, followed by  $N_{120}$  (0.42%) it was on par with  $N_{150}$  (0.39%). However, the lowest value was recorded with N<sub>0</sub> (0.26%). Among potassium levels the highest total phosphorous content was noticed in K<sub>100</sub> (0.45%) which was statistically on par with K<sub>0</sub> (0.41%) followed by K<sub>80</sub> (0.36%).

30 DAT	(%P)				60 DAT	(%F	<b>'</b> )			
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		K <sub>0</sub>	1	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.13	No	0.4	42	0.56	0.59	0.52
N <sub>120</sub>	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.15	N <sub>120</sub>	0.5	52	0.65	0.59	0.59
N <sub>150</sub>	0.18	0.19	0.23	0.20	N <sub>150</sub>	0.6	66	0.72	0.92	0.77
N <sub>180</sub>	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.22	N <sub>180</sub>	0.8	36	0.64	0.92	0.81
Mean	0.16	0.18	0.19		Mean	0.0	61	0.64	0.76	
	S.E.m±	C.D				S.	E.m±	C.D		
		(P=0.05)						(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.01	0.02			Ν	0.0	)4	0.13		
Κ	0.01	0.02			K	0.0	)4	0.11		
(N*K)	0.01	NS			(N*K)	0.0	)7	NS		
				90 DAT	` (%P)					
		Shoot						Fruit		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	, Mea	n		K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	0.20	0.27	0.28	3 <b>0.25</b>	5 N <sub>0</sub>		0.20	0.24	0.32	0.26
N <sub>120</sub>	0.32	0.33	0.27	<sup>7</sup> 0.31	N <sub>12</sub>	20	0.45	0.40	0.41	0.42
N <sub>150</sub>	0.16	0.14	0.14	i 0.15	5 N <sub>1</sub>	50	0.40	0.32	0.46	0.39
N <sub>180</sub>	0.19	0.19	0.23	3 <b>0.21</b>	N <sub>18</sub>	30	0.57	0.48	0.59	0.55
Mean	0.22	0.23	0.23	3	Ме	ean	0.41	0.36	0.45	
	S.E.m±	C.D						C.D		
		(P=0.05)					S.E.m	± (P=0.05)		
Ν	0.01	0.04			Ν		0.02	0.06		
K	0.01	0.03			K		0.02	0.05		
(N*K)	0.02	NS			(N'	۴ <b>K)</b>	0.03	NS		

Table 3. Effect of N and K fertigation levels on phosphorous content (%) of capsicum under poly house at 30, 60, 90 DAT (Shoot and fruit) during rabi 2019-20

100% RDF = 180: 90: 120 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>0</sub> –No Nitrogen, N<sub>120</sub> - 216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>150</sub> - 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>180</sub>- 324 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $K_0$  – No potassium,  $K_{80}$  - 96 kg  $K_2$ O ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $K_{100}$  - 120 kg  $K_2$ O ha<sup>-1</sup>

At 120 DAT, the total phosphorus content in shoot ranged from 0.13 to 0.24%. Among nitrogen levels significantly higher total phosphorous content was noticed in  $N_{180}$  (0.23%) compared to all other levels. However N<sub>150</sub> (0.16%) was on par with N<sub>120</sub> and N<sub>0</sub>. The lowest phosphorous content was recorded with N<sub>0</sub> (0.13%). With respect to different potassium doses there was no significant difference was observed. K<sub>100</sub> (0.17%) recorded the highest total phosphorous content which was on par with K<sub>80</sub> and  $K_0$ . However  $K_{80}$  and  $K_{100}$  were on par with

At 120 DAT the total phosphorous content in fruits ranged from 0.24% to 0.57%. Significantly higher total phosphorous content was noticed with  $N_{\rm 180}$  (0.55%) which was superior over  $N_{\rm 150},$  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0.$  However  $N_{120}$  (0.45%) was on par with  $N_{150}$  (0.42%). Among potassium doses  $K_{80}$ recorded the highest value (0.46%) which was on par with  $K_{100}$  (0.43%) it was followed by  $K_0$ (0.31%).

each other.

At final harvest the total phosphorous content was significantly influenced by various nitrogen fertigation levels. The N<sub>180</sub> recorded significantly higher value (0.19%, 0.68%) in shoot and fruits respectively. While, the lowest was recorded with N<sub>0</sub> (0.12%, 0.35%). With respect to different potassium fertigation levels, K<sub>100</sub> (0.15%, 0.53%) recorded the highest total phosphorous content while the lowest was observed with  $K_0$  (0.14%, 0.46%) in shoot and fruits respectively.

#### 3.1.3 Total potassium content (%)

Data pertaining to the total potassium content of capsicum in shoots at 30, 60 DAT and in shoot and fruit at 90 DAT is presented in Table 5 and the data at 120 DAT and final harvest is presented in Table 6. The interaction effect of N and K fertigation levels on total potassium content was found to be non significant. In general, higher total K content was noticed in shoots at 60 DAT. Relatively, shoots contained higher K content when compared to shoots.

				120	DAT (%P)				
		Shoot		<b>X Y</b>	Fruit				
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.13	No	0.24	0.37	0.30	0.31
N <sub>120</sub>	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.15	N <sub>120</sub>	0.45	0.50	0.39	0.45
N <sub>150</sub>	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.16	N <sub>150</sub>	0.39	0.42	0.45	0.42
N <sub>180</sub>	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.23	N <sub>180</sub>	0.52	0.57	0.56	0.55
Mean	0.16	0.17	0.17		Mean	0.40	0.46	0.43	
		C.D					C.D		
	S.E.m±	(P=0.05)				S.E.m±	(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.01	0.03			Ν	0.01	0.04		
К	0.01	0.02			К	0.01	0.04		
(N*K)	0.02	NS			(N*K)	0.02	NS		

#### Table 4. Effect of N and K fertigation levels on phosphorous content (%) of capsicum under poly house at 120 DAT, final harvest (Shoot and fruit) during *rabi* 2019-20

				Final ha	arvest (%P)				
		Shoot			Fruit				
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12	No	0.26	0.39	0.38	0.35
N <sub>120</sub>	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	N <sub>120</sub>	0.48	0.52	0.53	0.51
N <sub>150</sub>	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	N <sub>150</sub>	0.42	0.52	0.51	0.48
N <sub>180</sub>	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.19	N <sub>180</sub>	0.68	0.67	0.70	0.68
Mean	0.14	0.15	0.15		Mean	0.46	0.52	0.53	
		C.D					C.D		
	S.E.m±	(P=0.05)				S.E.m±	(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.00	0.01			Ν	0.02	0.06		
К	0.00	0.01			K	0.02	0.05		
(N*K)	0.00	NS			(N*K)	0.03	NS		

100% RDF = 180: 90: 120 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>0</sub> –No Nitrogen, N<sub>120</sub> - 216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>150</sub> - 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>180</sub>- 324 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>0</sub> –No potassium, K<sub>80</sub> - 96 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>100</sub> - 120 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

	30	DAT (%K)				60	DAT (%K)		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	0.87	0.93	1.35	1.05	No	1.77	1.89	2.07	1.91
N <sub>120</sub>	1.53	1.58	1.65	1.59	N <sub>120</sub>	2.20	2.33	2.34	2.29
N <sub>150</sub>	1.54	1.55	1.77	1.62	N <sub>150</sub>	2.23	2.18	2.58	2.33
N <sub>180</sub>	1.60	1.69	1.83	1.71	N <sub>180</sub>	2.29	2.41	2.65	2.45
Mean	1.39	1.44	1.65		Mean	2.12	2.20	2.41	
	S.E.m±	C.D					C.D		
		(P=0.05)				S.E.m±	(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.03	0.10			Ν	0.04	0.12		
Κ	0.03	0.08			Κ	0.04	0.10		
(N*K)	0.06	NS			(N*K)	0.07	NS		
				90 DA	T (%K)				
		Shoot					Fruit		
	Ko	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		Ko	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	1.50	1.56	1.63	1.56	No	1.02	1.05	1.08	1.05
N <sub>120</sub>	1.78	1.88	2.02	1.89	N <sub>120</sub>	1.09	1.11	1.21	1.14
N <sub>150</sub>	1.93	1.93	2.19	2.02	N <sub>150</sub>	1.17	1.29	1.40	1.29
N <sub>180</sub>	1.96	2.02	2.23	2.07	N <sub>180</sub>	1.19	1.27	1.47	1.31
Mean	1.79	1.85	2.02		Mean	1.12	1.18	1.29	
	S.E.m±	C.D					C.D		
		(P=0.05)				S.E.m±	(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.03	0.10			Ν	0.02	0.06		
Κ	0.03	0.08			K	0.02	0.05		
(N*K)	0.06	NS			(N*K)	0.04	NS		

Table 5. Effect of N and K	fertigation levels on potassium content (%) of capsicum under pol	y
house at	30, 60, 90 DAT (Shoot and fruit) during <i>rabi</i> 2019-20	

<sup>100%</sup> RDF = 180: 90: 120 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>0</sub> –No Nitrogen, N<sub>120</sub> - 216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>150</sub> - 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>180</sub>- 324 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>0</sub> –No potassium, K<sub>80</sub> - 96 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>, K<sub>100</sub> - 120 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

Table 6. Effect of N and K fertigation levels on potassium	content (%) of capsicum under poly
house at 120 DAT, final harvest (Shoot and fr	ruit) during rabi 2019-20

120 DAT (%K)									
		Shoot					Fruit		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		Ko	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	0.96	1.09	1.18	1.08	No	0.98	1.07	1.11	1.05
N <sub>120</sub>	1.25	1.29	1.31	1.28	N <sub>120</sub>	1.13	1.15	1.23	1.17
N <sub>150</sub>	1.27	1.35	1.42	1.35	N <sub>150</sub>	1.14	1.17	1.27	1.20
N <sub>180</sub>	1.31	1.37	1.54	1.41	N <sub>180</sub>	1.17	1.22	1.35	1.25
Mean	1.20	1.27	1.36		Mean	1.10	1.15	1.24	
		C.D					C.D		
	S.E.m±	(P=0.05)				S.E.m±	(P=0.05)		
Ν	0.02	0.07			Ν	0.02	0.07		
Κ	0.02	0.06			Κ	0.02	0.06		
(N*K)	0.04	NS			(N*K)	0.04	NS		

Final harvest DAT (%K)									
		Shoot					Fruit		
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean		K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>80</sub>	K <sub>100</sub>	Mean
No	0.83	1.03	1.11	0.99	No	0.93	0.95	1.09	0.99
N <sub>120</sub>	1.20	1.20	1.27	1.22	N <sub>120</sub>	1.08	1.11	1.14	1.11
N <sub>150</sub>	1.21	1.27	1.31	1.26	N <sub>150</sub>	1.13	1.15	1.19	1.16
N <sub>180</sub>	1.27	1.28	1.38	1.31	N <sub>180</sub>	1.14	1.18	1.28	1.20

Final harvest DAT (%K)									
Shoot					Fruit				
Mean	1.13	1.20	1.27	Mean	1.07	1.10	1.17		
	S.E.m±	C.D				C.D			
		(P=0.05)			S.E.m±	(P=0.05)			
Ν	0.02	0.07		Ν	0.02	0.07			
Κ	0.02	0.06		Κ	0.02	0.06			
(N*K)	0.04	NS		(N*K)	0.04	NS			

100% RDF = 180: 90: 120 kg N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>,

N<sub>0</sub> – No Nitrogen, N<sub>120</sub> - 216 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>150</sub> - 270 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>180</sub>- 324 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $K_0 - No \text{ potassium}, K_{80} - 96 \text{ kg } K_2 \text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}, K_{100} - 120 \text{ kg } K_2 \text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ 

Among different nitrogen doses,  $N_{180}$  (1.71%, 2.45%) recorded the highest total potassium content in shoot at 30, 60 DAT respectively which was significantly superior over  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0$  and was found to be on par with  $N_{150}$  (1.62, 2.33%). However  $N_{150}$  and  $N_{120}$  were on par with each other. The lowest was observed with  $N_0$  (1.05, 1.91%) respectively. Similarly the total potassium content at 30 and 60 DAT in shoot revealed that the highest total potassium content was recorded with  $K_{100}$  (1.65%, 2.41%) respectively compared to  $K_{80}$  and  $K_0$ . However  $K_{80}$  and  $K_0$  were on par with each other.

The total potassium content at 90 DAT in shoot and fruits revealed that  $N_{180}$  (2.07%, 1.31%) recorded significantly higher value compared to  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0$ . And was found to be on par with  $N_{150}$  (2.02%, 1.29%). The lowest N content was noticed in  $N_0$  (1.56, 1.05%) respectively. With regard to potassium fertigation, there was a significant difference observed in fruits. The highest potassium content was observed with  $K_{100}$  (2.02%, 1.29%) in shoot and fruits respectively. The lowest was observed with  $K_0$ (1.79%, 1.12%).

At 120 DAT, the total potassium content in shoot ranged from 0.96% to 1.54%. Among nitrogen levels the highest total potassium content was noticed in  $N_{180}$  (1.41%) and it was followed by  $N_{150}$  (1.35%) and  $N_{120}$  (1.28%). The lowest potassium content was recorded with  $N_0$  (1.08%). With respect to different potassium doses, a significant effect was noticed. The  $K_{100}$  (1.36%) recorded significantly higher total potassium content compared to other levels where as the lowest was recorded with  $K_0$  (1.20%).

At 120 DAT, the total potassium content in fruits ranged from 0.98% to 1.35%. The highest total potassium content was noticed with  $N_{180}$  (1.25%) which was significantly superior over

 $N_{120},\,N_0$  and was on par with  $N_{150}$  (1.20%). The  $N_{150}$  (1.20%) was on par with  $N_{120}$  (1.17%). The lowest was recorded with  $N_0$  (1.05%). Among different potassium doses,  $K_{100}$  recorded the highest value (1.24%) compared to other levels. The  $K_{80}$  and  $K_0$  were on par with each other.

At final harvest, among the nitrogen levels  $N_{180}$ (1.31%) recorded the highest total potassium content in the shoot which was followed by  $N_{150}$ (1.26%) and  $N_{120}$  (1.22%) respectively and the lowest was observed with No (0.99%). As concerned with potassium applications a significant difference was noticed. Significantly higher total potassium content was noticed with  $K_{100}$  (1.27%) while the lowest was observed with  $K_0$  (1.13%). In fruit, at final harvest the values ranged from 0.93% to 1.28%. The highest total potassium content was recorded in N<sub>180</sub> (1.20%) which was significantly higher than  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0$ and was found to be on par with  $N_{150}$  (1.16%). However  $N_{150}$  and  $N_{120}$  were on par with each other. The lowest was observed with  $N_0$  (0.99%). Among potassium fertigation, significantly higher potassium content was noticed in  $K_{100}$  (1.17%) compared to  $K_{80}$  and  $K_0$ . However  $K_{80}$  and  $K_0$ were on par with each other. In general, lower K contents were noticed in both shoots and fruits of capsicum.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Finally it can be concluded that the highest N content was observed in N<sub>180</sub> at all the stages in shoot and fruits, which was significantly higher over other levels of nitrogen and was statistically on par with N<sub>150</sub>. The lowest was noticed with N<sub>0</sub>. Among varied potassium doses significantly higher N content was noticed with K<sub>100</sub>. The lowest was recorded in K<sub>0</sub>. The total phosphorous content was significantly influenced by various nitrogen fertigation levels. However N<sub>180</sub> recorded significantly higher value in shoot

and fruits respectively. The lowest was recorded with N<sub>0</sub>, with respect to different potassium fertigation K<sub>100</sub> recorded the highest total phosphorous content while the lowest was observed with K<sub>0</sub> in shoot and fruits respectively. The highest total potassium content (shoot and fruit) was recorded in N<sub>180</sub> which was significantly higher than  $N_{120}$  and  $N_0$  and was found to be on par with  $N_{150}$ . The  $N_{150}$  and  $N_{120}$  were on par with each other. The lowest was observed with No. Among potassium fertigation, significantly higher total potassium content was noticed in K<sub>100</sub> compared to  $K_{80}$  and  $K_0$ . The lowest was recorded with K<sub>0</sub>

#### DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Telangana State Horticulture Mission. 2018-19. Available:https://pub.greenecosystem.in/go vernment-departments/telangana-state-
- horticulture-mission.html.
  Agarwal A, Gupta S, Ahmed Z. Influence of plant densities on productivity of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under green house in high altitude cold desert Ladakh. Acta Horticulture. 2007;756: 309 -314.
- 3. Yellavva K. Evaluation of capsicum hybrids under different protected structures. *M.Sc thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2008.
- 4. Anonymous. Importance of vegetables; 2006.

Available:www.thehindubusiness.com.

- Hegde DM. Nutrient requirement of solanaceous vegetable crops. Extension Bulletin ASPAC Food and Fertilizer Technology Centre 44 : 9 [CAB Abstracts 1996-1998]; 1997.
- 6. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Printer Hall of India Pvt, Ltd , New Delhi. 1967;115-150.
- AOAC. Official Methods of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC. 1965;12:15-18.

© 2021 Goutam et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/78319