Journal of Engineering Research and Reports





Onoriode K. Idiapho^{1*}, William E. Odinikuku² and Onomine M. Akusu²

¹Department of Production Engineering, University of Benin, Nigeria. ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Petroleum Training Institute, Warri, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author OKI designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors WEO and OMA managed the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2019/v7i216965 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dr. Pijush Samui, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, NIT Patna, India and Adjunct Professor, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Yash Dave, India. (2) Marzena Kurpińska, Gdansk University of Technology, Poland. (3) Francisco Alves Pinheiro, Federal University of São Francisco Valley, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/51428</u>

> Received 12 July 2019 Accepted 16 September 2019 Published 25 September 2019

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The financial cost of downtime can be very significant, especially in manufacturing industries. As a result, no business wants to experience downtime. In this study, the reliability of two identical machines code named GDA and GDB used in a cement manufacturing industry was assessed by analysis of failure times data of components in the machines by applying Weibull distribution method. The estimates of the Weibull parameters, θ and β were obtained using a reliability software tool 'Windchill Quality Solutions 11.0 Tryout' and the mean time to failure, failure rate and reliability of the machines was successfully determined. The result obtained showed that, the machines are undergoing rapid wear out as the values of the shape parameter obtained were greater than four. The plots of the failure rate also showed that the machines are in their wear out periods as the failure rate curves were observed to be increasing. The values of the mean time to failure of the two machines were found to be very close. The reliability of the machines was found to be increasing as their values of scale parameter, θ increases with machine GDA having the highest reliability.



Keywords: Weibull method; reliability assessment; Weibull probability plots; failure times; Windchill quality solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reliability assessment for mechanical equipment is important in condition-based maintenance to lower cost and improve equipment reliability, thus, it emerges repeatedly and has become an important research area for mechanical equipment reliability analysis and life prediction [1]. Wang et al. [2] proved that the bounded intensity process was suitable for reliability assessment of deterioration in machine tools with frequent maintenance actions. How to assess reliability is also helpful for predictive life [3] and maintenance time [4]. Reliability engineering is a tool used to define the probability that a unit component or system will perform its intended function uninterruptedly, under a specified operational working condition over a given period of time. According to Shakuntla et al. [5] reliability analysis helps us to obtain the necessary information about the control of various parameters. Regattieri et al. [6] studied the assessment of a reliability mechanical component of an automatic machine for packaging using the accelerated test approach. The results confirmed that the assessment method through ALT is effective for lifetime prediction with shorter test times and for the same reason it can improve the design process of automatic packaging machines. According to Barringer and Barringer [7], reliability plays an important role in the selection of equipment for lowest long term cost of ownership. According to Okpala and Yelebe [8], machine failures in the cement industries can be predicted by the application of reliability analysis. According to Idiapho and Odinikuku [9], the reliability of base transceiver stations can be assessed using 2parameter Weibull method. In this study, the failure times of two identical machines used in the cement industries was investigated using the Weibull distribution method and the reliability of the machines was assessed.

2. METHODOLOGY

Reliability data of two identical machines used for this study were obtained from maintenance report books in a cement manufacturing plant. The data obtained covers a period of six years and consists of failure times of components of the two machines code named machines GDA and GDB. According to O'Connor and Kleyner [10], it is useful in engineering to determine which distribution that best fits a set of data and estimates of to derive the distribution parameters. The main challenge of fitting distributions to reliability data is finding the type of distribution and the values of the parameters that give the highest probability of producing the observed data. One of the most common probability density functions used in industry is the Weibull distribution. According to Lyonnet [11], the Weibull model is the most suitable when carrying out reliability analysis for mechanical components and O' Connor and Kleyner [10] also stated that the Weibull probability analysis is the most utilized technique for processing and interpretation of life data. Weibull distribution can be applied to a large number of situations. The main advantage of using this distribution is its ability to handle small samples of failure data and its flexibility in fitting different failure modes. Small samples are common in reliability testing where tests are often destructive in nature and require costly resources. In this study, the twoparameter Weibull failure method was used to assess the reliability of the machines under study because it is more efficient and accurate when dealing with small samples of failure data Behr et al. [12].

2.1 Weibull Parameters Estimation

There are several methods for estimating the Weibull parameters from a given set of failure data. They can be classified either as manual or computational methods. Manual calculations can be performed by: (a) least square regression (LR); (b) weighted least squares regression (WLR) and; (c) a linear approach based on good linear unbiased estimators (GLUEs); while computational (computer-based) methods are: (a) the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) and; (b) the method of moments estimation (MME).

These days, most computer reliability software Packages like MINITAB, Weibull++ and Windchill Quality Solutions contain programs to estimate the Weibull parameters and automatically produce Weibull plots from a given data set. For the purpose of this study, the estimates of the 2parameters Weibull distribution (θ and β) will be obtained using TPC Windchill Quality Solutions 11.0 Tryout software package. Theta (θ) is a scale parameter that influences both the mean and spread of dispersion of the distribution. As θ increases, the reliability increases at a given point in time. The slope of the hazard rate decreases as θ increases. The parameter θ is also called the characteristics life and it has units identical to those of failure time *t*. Beta (β) is referred to as the shape parameter. Its effect on the distribution varies for different values are shown in Table 1.

The failure rate or hazard function is characterized by the equation:

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\beta}{\theta} \times \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)\beta - 1 \tag{1}$$

The Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) of 2parameter Weibull distribution is given by:

$$MTTF = \theta \times \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \tag{2}$$

Where Γ = gamma functions

The reliability of a 2-parameter Weibull is calculated using the equation:

Reliability,
$$R(t) = e^{-(\frac{t}{\theta})\beta}$$
 (3)
Where:

 θ = Scale parameter

 β = Shape parameter, also known as the Weibull slope

t = Variable time

According to (Lyonnet, 1991), the failure density function or unreliability, F(t) is given by:

$$F(t) = 1 - R(t) \tag{4}$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

Table 2 shows the failure times of components of machines GDA and GDB ranked in ascending order.

3.1.1 Analysis of machine GDA

The failure times of machine GDA shown in Table 2 were analyzed using the software tool PTC Windchill Quality Solutions 11.0 Tryout to obtain the 2-parameter Weibull estimates:

$$\beta = 15.8344$$

 $\theta = 1616.0623$
 $r^2 = 0.7281$
 $r = 0.8533$

Where r^2 = Coefficient of determination and r = Coefficient of correlation

Since the value of $\beta = 15.8344$, it indicates increasing failure rate (IFR) or wear out period. The failure of the components in the machine is probably due to aging, fatigue, corrosion, friction and cyclical loading.

For absolute values of r, 0 - 0.19 is regarded as very weak, 0.2 - 0.39 as weak, 0.40 - 0.59 as moderate, 0.6 - 0.79 as strong and 0.81 - 1 as very strong correlation.

From the above value obtained for the coefficient of correlation, r = 0.85, it shows that there is strong correlation of the dataset and that the independent variable is a good predictor of the dependent variable, therefore regression is very suitable.

Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were plotted using PTC Windchill quality solutions 11.0 Tryout software. Fig. 1 shows the Weibull probability plot which is observed to be linear. Fig. 2 shows the failure rate plot of the machine which can be seen to be increasing over time. This indicates increasing failure rate (IFR) of the components of the machine which may be as a result of overloading of the machine, aging, wear and friction or fatigue. Fig. 3 shows the plot of probability density function against time.

Fig. 4 shows the plot reliability against time. From Fig. 4, it can be seen that the reliability of the machine is decreasing over time. Fig. 5 shows the 3D contour plot which is used to compare the data sets.

β value	Type of failure	Meaning
β<1	infant mortality (DFR)	high probability of failing at early stages
β=1	random failures (CFR)	failures are independent of time
1< β<4	early wear out	failures may be caused by fatigue, aging, friction
β>4	rapid wear out	steep curve with fast wear out at some point

Table 1. Ty	pes of failures	corresponding	g to	β values
-------------	-----------------	---------------	------	----------



Fig. 1. Weibull probability Vs time plot of machine GDA



Fig. 2. Failure rate Vs time plot of machine GDA



Fig. 3. Probability density function Vs time plot of machine GDA



Fig. 4. Reliability Vs time plot of machine GDA



Fig. 5. 3D Contour plot of machine GDA

Table 2. Failure time of machines

Rank	Failure time (hrs)		
	GDA	GDB	
1	1468	1300	
2	1478	1318	
3	1507	1412	
4	1515	1497	
5	1668	1555	
6	1783	1802	

From eqn. (2), the Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) of 2-parameter Weibull distribution is:

$$MTTF = \theta \times \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)$$
$$MTTF = 1616.0623 \times \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{15.8344}\right)$$

 $MTTF = 1616.0623 \times \Gamma (1.06)$

Where $\Gamma(1.06)$ is a gamma function obtained from gamma standard tables to be = 0.9615

$$MTTF = 1616.0623 \times 0.9615$$

MTTF = 1553.8439 hours (5)

From eqn. (1), the failure rate or hazard rate = λ (t) = $\frac{\beta}{\theta} \times \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)\beta - 1$

Failure rate = λ (t) = $\frac{15.8344}{1616.0623} \times \left(\frac{1553.8439}{1616.0623}\right)^{15.8344-1}$

Failure rate = λ (t) = 9.7981 × 10⁻³ × (0.9615)^{14.8344}

Failure rate = λ (t) = 5.4727 × 10⁻³/hr (6)

From eqn. (3), Reliability, $R(t) = e^{-(\frac{t}{\theta})\beta}$

Reliability,
$$R(t) = e^{-(\frac{1553.8439}{1616.0623})15.8344}$$

Reliability, $R(t) = e^{-0.5370}$
Reliability, $R(t) = 0.5845$ (7)

From eqn. (4),
$$F(t) = 1 - R(t)$$

 $F(t) = 1 - 0.5845 = 0.4155$ (8)

3.1.2 Analysis of machine GDB

The failure times of machine GDB shown in Table 2 were analyzed using Windchill Quality Solutions 11.0 Tryout. The following Weibull parameters were obtained:

$$\beta = 9.4596$$

 $\theta = 1551.4668$
 $r^2 = 0.8570$
 $r = 0.9258$

The value of $\beta = 9.4596$ shows that machine GDB is experiencing rapid wear out (IFR). The failure of the components in the machine is probably due to aging, fatigue, corrosion, friction and overloading.

From the above value obtained for the coefficient of correlation, r = 0.93, it shows there is a strong correlation of the data and that the independent variable is a good predictor of the dependent variable.

Figs. 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 were obtained using PTC Windchill quality solutions 11.0 Tryout software. Fig. 6 shows the Weibull probability plot which is observed to be linear. Fig. 7 shows the failure rate plot of the machine which can be seen to be increasing over time. This indicates increasing failure rate (IFR) of the components of the machine which may be as a result of overloading of the machine, aging, wear and friction or fatigue. Fig. 8 shows the plot of probability density function against time.

Fig. 9 shows the plot reliability against time. From Fig. 9, it can be seen that the reliability of the machine is decreasing over time. Fig. 10 shows the 3D contour plot which is used to compare the data. The Mean Time to Failure (MTTF) of machine GDB, $MTTF = \theta \times \Gamma (1 + \frac{1}{2})$

$$MTTF = 1551.4668 \times \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{9.4596}\right)$$

 $MTTF = 1551.4668 \times \Gamma (1.11)$

Where $\Gamma(1.11)$ is a gamma function obtained from gamma standard tables to be = 0.9420

Table 3. Weibull distribution parameters for machine GDA

β	θ	r
15.8344	1616.0623	0.8533
MTTF = MTTF =	1551.4668 × 0.9420 1461.48 <i>hours</i>	0 (9)
Failure rate o	r hazard rate , λ (t)	$= \frac{\beta}{\theta} \times \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right) \beta - 1$
Failure rate =	$ \lambda (t) = \frac{9.4596}{1551.4668} \times ($	$\left(\frac{1461.48}{1551.4668}\right)$ 9.4596-1

Failure rate = λ (t) = 6.0972 × 10⁻³ × (0.942)^{8.4596}

Failure rate = λ (t) = 3.6780 × 10⁻³ /hr (10)



Fig. 6. Weibull probability plot of machine GDB







Fig. 8. Probability density function Vs time plot of machine GDB







Fig. 10. 3D Contour plot of machine GDB

Table 4. Weibull distribution parameters for machine GDB

β	θ	r	
9.4596	1551.4668	0.9258	

The reliability of machine GDB using eqn. (3):

Reliability, $R(t) = e^{-(\frac{146148}{1551.4668})9.4596}$ Reliability, $R(t) = e^{-0.5682}$ Reliability, R(t) = 0.5665(11) CDF or unreliability, F(t) = 1 - R(t) F(t) = 1 - 0.5665 = 0.4334(12)

4. DISCUSSION

The Weibull distribution parameters were estimated using TPC Windchill Quality Solutions 11.0 Tryout software package. Figs. 1 and 6 show the Weibull probability plots of machines GDA and GDB. From Figs. 1 and 6, it can be seen that the plots are linear. Figs. 2 and 7 show the failure rate plots of the two machines. From Figs. 2 and 7, the plots can be seen to be increasing over time. This indicates increasing failure rate (IFR) of the components of the machine which may be as a result of overloading of the machine, aging, wear and friction or fatigue. Figs. 3 and 8 show the plots of probability density function against time. Figs. 4 and 9 showed the plot reliability against time. It can be seen from the plots that the reliability of the machine is decreasing over time. Figs.5 and 10 show the 3d contour plots which are used to compare the data sets.

From the analysis carried out on the failure times data of machines GDA and GDB, the results obtained showed the two machines having shape $\beta = 15.8344$ and 9.4596 parameter respectively as shown in Tables 3 and 4. From literature, when $\beta > 4$, the type of failure is the wear out period or increasing failure rate (IFR). Since the value of shape parameter estimate obtained for the two machines, $\beta > 4$, it shows that the machines are experiencing rapid wear out which may be as a result of aging, wear due to friction, corrosion, overheating due to overload. and fatigue of the machine components. The downtime of the machines can be reduced by preventive maintenance strategies and parts replacement technology. From Weibull plots, the value of correlation coefficient. r obtained, for both machines showed that a strong positive correlation exists between the datasets. The values of the mean time to failure (MTTF) of the two machines were found to be very close. As the mean time to failure of the machines increases, their reliability is seen to also increase. From our results for reliability in equations (7) and (11), the reliability of the two identical machines was found to be increasing as their values of scale parameter, θ increases. Machine GDA had a scale parameter, $\theta = 1616$ hrs which resulted in a reliability of 0.5845 (58%) while machine GDB had the highest reliability of 0.5665 (57%) for $\theta = 1551$ hrs.

5. CONCLUSION

The reliability assessment of two identical machines used in a cement manufacturing company has been studied. The study involves the rank-ordering of the failure time data of two machines, determination of the probability of failures of the machines, the Weibull scale parameter, θ and Weibull shape parameter, β of the machines, the mean time to failure of the machines, their failure rates and reliability of each machine.

The data obtained from two identical machines were analyzed using 2-parameter Weibull failure distribution technique. The Weibull parameters θ and β were obtained using TPC Windchill Quality Solutions 11.0 Tryout software tool. The mean time to failure, failure rate and reliability of each machine was successfully computed. The results obtained showed machine GDA having a higher reliability than machine GDB.

In this study, the failure times of two identical machines in a cement industry for a period of six years was successfully analyzed by applying 2-parameter Weibull failure distribution method and the reliability of each machine was successfully determined.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the efforts of Michael Etete and Jeffrey Ehibor for the success of this work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bona GD, Forcina A, Silvestri A. Critical flow method: A new reliability allocation

approach for a thermonuclear system. Qual. Reliab. Eng. Int. 2016;32:1677– 1691.

- Wang ZM, Yang JG. Bounded intensity process and its applications in reliability assessment of NC machine tools. J. Shanghai Jiaotong Univ. 2012;46,1623– 1631.
- Zhao M, Lin J. Health assessment of rotating machinery using a rotary encoder. IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. 2017;65:2548– 2556.
- Wang ZM, Yang JG, Wang GQ, Zhang GB. Reliability assessment of multiple NC machine tools with minimal repair. J. Harbin Inst. Technol. 2011;43:127–130.
- Shakuntlaa Sanjay K, Lala AK, Bhatiaa SS, Jai Singh. Availability analysis of polytube industry when two sub-systems are simultaneous fail. Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research. 2011; 46(4):475-480.
- Regattieri A, Piana F, Gamberi M, Galizia FG, Casto A. Reliability assessment of a packaging automated machine by

accelerated life testing approach. Procedia Manufacturing. 2017;11:2178-2186.

- Barringer HP, Barringer PE, Associates Inc. Life cycle cost & reliability for process equipment. Conference & Exhibition, Houston, Texas. PennWell Publishing; 1997.
- Okpala AN, Yelebe SR. Application of reliability analysis for predicting failures in cement industry. The International Journal of Engineering and Science. 2016;5:1-7.
- Idiapho OK, Odinikuku WE. Reliability assessment of a base transceiver station using 2-Parameter Weibull distribution method. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports. 2018;2(4):1-15.
- 10. O'Connor DT, Kleyner A. Practical reliability engineering, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK, 5th edition; 2012.
- Lyonnet P. Tools of Total Quality, An Introduction to statistical Process Control. Chapman and Hall, London; 1991.
- Behr RA, Karson MJ, Minor JE. Reliability analysis of window glass failure pressure data. Struct Saf. 1991;11(1):43–58.

© 2019 Idiapho et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/51428