

South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products

5(4): 9-21, 2022; Article no.SARJNP.96992

Anticolorectal Cancer Properties of Some Flavonoids and Terpenoids from African Propolis via Molecular Docking

Sylvester Nnaemeka Ugariogu a* and Ijeoma Akunna Duru ^a

^aChemistry Department Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/*96992*

Original Research Article

Received 24 October 2022 Accepted 29 December 2022 Published 30 December 2022

ABSTRACT

Propolis, a resinous material produced by bees from plant exudates, has long been reported to be used in traditional herbal medicine and is widely consumed as a health aid and immune system booster. The colorectal cancer which is a world health emergency has renewed interest in propolis products worldwide. Fortunately, various aspects of the Poly adenosine diphosphate ribose polymerases (PARPs) mechanism are potential targets for propolis compounds. The treatment of Colerectal cancer (CRC) has been focused on the tumor site and stage of the disease using chemotherapy or radiotherapy, surgery, hormonal therapy, immunotherapy etc. Although apoptosis is being used in preventing damaged cells from developing out but due to secondary mutations in apoptosis-regulating gene, it can distort this order. This work aimed at evaluating the anticancer potential of some flavonoids and terpenoids from African propolis which can inhibit the protein of PARPs therefore preventing the growth of the cancer cell. From the result β- amyrin and naringin showed the best binding affinity and fit at -11.9 kcal/mol and -11.7 kcal/mol respectively which were better than standard drugs Irinotecan -11.2 kcal/mol and Doxorubicin -9.1 kcal/mol with the ligand at -11.2 kcal/mol. Other compounds also showed very high binding affinity of more than -9.0 kcal/mol suggesting the propolis compounds as potential anticancer compounds.

Keywords: Molecular docking; anticolorectal cancer; propolis; poly (ADP-ribose) polymerases (PARPs); flavonoids.

^{}Corresponding author: Email: mastersylvester@yahoo.com;*

1. INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a genetic disease that is said to be multifactorial and also one of the diseases caused by uncontrolled proliferation of abnormal cells in the body [1]. In comparison with other diseases cancer is complex in nature and therefore has many potential molecular targets for therapeutics development [2]. Cancer has remained a global health challenge as there are over 200 types of cancer, majority of them were named after the tissue they found to be infected for the first time like colorectal cancer, breast cancer, skin cancer, lung cancer, bone cancer etc. Cancer has been reported as one of the significant causes of death in the $21st$ century [3]. In 2015, World Health Organization (WHO) reported cancer as the second leading causes of death in people below 70 years of age in 91 different countries. Bray et al 2018 reported a global increase of 18.1 million new cancer cases and 9.6 million cancer related deaths [4]. The prevalence rate of colorectal cancer (CRC) is recorded as the third highest of all cancers in the world. By 2035 the cases are estimated to reach over 2.4 lakhs [5-6]. The treatment of CRC focuses on the tumor site and stage of the disease using chemotherapy or radiotherapy, surgery, hormonal therapy, immunotherapy etc. [7]. Apoptosis is used in preventing damaged cells from developing out but sometimes due to secondary mutations in apoptosis-regulating gene, it can distort this order [8]. Therefore natural products which can serve as an alternative have been the bedrock of modern therapeutic medicine as most of the drugs have their source from natural products, either as dietary supplement or synthetic analogues [9]. Most of the flavonoids and other phytochemicals found in nature are reported to trigger endoplasmic reticulum stress that may induce tissue damage through apoptosis and necrosis [10-11]. Also, modifications of some bioactive compounds have been implored to improve bioavailability, specificity and therapeutic effectiveness as well as other variety features that include implementation of potential chemotherapeutic agents [12-14]. Propolis is one of the natural products obtained from bees. It has been acclaimed and reported as a medicinal product. It is a complex resinous product having compositions of phytochemicals which can change depending on collection site, botanical origin, climatic condition, trees around and extraction methods. Propolis has been used ethnomedically in ancient times as a remedy for variety of diseases and recently interest has

been renewed in reinvestigating the drug potentials. It is also reported to have antitumor and anticancer properties [15]. Flavonoids that are commonly found in propolis have highest
antioxidant, antitumor, cytotoxic and antioxidant, antitumor, cytotoxic and chemopreventive properties [16]. Some of the flavonoids that are isolated from African propolis include Acacetin-Algeria, Quercetin-Algeria, Pinocembrin-Algeria and Egypt, Naringenin-Algeria, Chrysin-Algeria and Egypt, Apigenin-Algeria, Kaempferol-Algeria, Macarangin-Kenya and Nigeria, Liquiritigen-Nigeria, Narangin etc. [17]. Enzymes are biocatalysts and because of their remarkable properties, they are extensively used in medical diagnosis. They are preferred markers in various disease states such as myocardial infarction, cancer and neurodegenerative disorder, jaundice etc. they provide insight into the disease process by diagnosis, prognosis and assessment of response therapy, [18].

Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerases (PARPs) which are enzymes, activates DNA repair mechanisms upon stress and cytotoxin-induced DNA damage and inhibition of PARP activity. This is a leading mechanism in cancer drug therapy [19]. PARP-1 function as a DNA damage sensor and a signalling molecule. When it binds with DNA, the activated PARP cleaves NAD (+) into nicotinamide and ADP-ribose and polymerizes the ADP-ribose to nuclear acceptor proteins like histones, PARP and transcription factors contribute to inflammatory signal transduction processes. Activation of PARP has been connected in the pathogenesis of stroke and other diseases. Inhibition of PARP by pharmacological agents has proved useful for the therapy of cancer [20]. Colorectal cancer is common in both men and women. In terms of morbidity it is the third most common cancer while in terms of mortality it is rated second. About 10% cases of cancer in the world is colorectal cancer and drugs like cetuximab, Deracizumab and camptosar have been used in the management of colorectal cancer but their effects vary from patient to patient, and these drugs cause some side effects on the patients. Therefore the search for natural alternative remains sacrosanct [21]. Molecular docking is a vital tool which is used in computer aided drug design. It is one of the natural solutions towards this problem. The present study has focused on the use of phytochemical compounds (Flavonoids and Terpenoids) from African Propolis in docking on a protein from PARP to know whether it can inhibit its action and also to identify the active site, binding affinity, ligand protein interaction and compare to the result of the docking of standard cancer drugs and cocrystallized ligand.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Protein Receptors and Ligand Retrieval and Preparations

The list of some flavonoids and terpenoids from African propolis with proven anti-cancer properties were retrieved from literature [17]. Three dimensional (3D) structures of the drugs, flavonoid and terpenoid compounds were retrieved from PubChem web server in simple document format (SDF). They were optimized using Open babel in Python Prescription (version 0.8) which converted the ligands energetically to the most stable structures using Merk Molecular Force Field 94 (MMFF94). Similarly, the 3D X-ray crystallographic structure of the Poly (ADPribose) polymerases (PARPs) was retrieved from the RCSB protein data bank (PDB) (https://www.rcsb.org/) with ID 1UK0. The proteins were then prepared for docking and minimized using the relevant tools in Discovery studio.

2.2 Molecular Docking

Prior to molecular docking analysis, proteins were pre-processed using Discovery Studio 2020. This step includes the removal of any hetero-groups, other chains and water molecules. The active site of the protein was identified using Discovery studio. Furthermore, the preparation of ligands and receptors in the PDBQT file format were carried out in the AutoDock tool. Open babel in pyrx (version) was

deployed for the optimization of our selected ligands. This converts ligands, compounds and drugs into most stable structures energetically. The molecular docking was carried out using AutoDock Vina to understand the interaction between receptors and ligands. A rigid-flexible docking was performed after setting a grid box surrounding the binding sites of the receptors at exhaustiveness = 8, center $x = 5.62$, center $y = -$ 0.97, center $z = 32.52$, size $x = 20.61$, size $y =$ 23.59, size $z = 23.74$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of the molecular docking of some flavonoids, terpenoids, drugs and the cocrystallized ligand on the protein of PARP is shown below in the Table 1.

Fig. 1 shows the structure of 1UK0 protein which is crystal structure of catalytic domain of human poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase with a novel inhibitor which was crystallized with X-RAY diffraction with a resolution of 3.00Å deposited by Kinoshita.

3.1 Docking Results

Irinotecan is an antineoplastic enzyme inhibitor primarily used in the treatment of colorectal cancer. It is a derivative of camptothecin that inhibits the action of topoisomerase I. Irinotecan prevents religation of the DNA strand by binding to topoisomerase I-DNA complex, and causes double-strand DNA breakage and cell death. It is a derivative of camptothecin. Irinotecan was approved for the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer in October, 2015 (irinotecan liposome injection, trade name Onivyde) [22].

Picture of prepared protein Picture of Raw protein

Fig. 1. pictures of protein of PARP

Table 1. The molecular docking result of the compounds, ligand and drugs

Ugariogu and Duru; SARJNP, 5(4): 9-21, 2022; Article no.SARJNP.96992

Ugariogu and Duru; SARJNP, 5(4): 9-21, 2022; Article no.SARJNP.96992

Doxorubicin is a chemotherapy drug and is a treatment for many different types of cancer. Doxorubicin is also known as Adriamycin. It slows or stops the growth of cancer cells by blocking an enzyme called topo isomerase 2. Cancer cells need this enzyme to divide and grow [23]. The binding energy of some compounds isolated from the African propolis is shown in the Table 1. The compounds obtained were flavonoids and terpenoids but other groups of phytochemicals like saponin, alkaloid and

tannins were not analyzed because flavonoids and some terpenoids have been reported to have antioxidant and anticancer properties. The binding affinity score showed that all the compounds have high activity against the cancer protein and some of the compounds have activities higher than that of the control drugs and cocrystallized ligand. The control drug Irinotecan had -11.2 binding activity while Doxorubicin had binding affinity of -9.1. The co-crystallized ligand had binding affinity of -11.6, while most of the compounds have binding activities of -9 and beyond. The most active compound was βamyrin with binding energy of -11.9 followed by naringin -11.7, ambonic acid -11.2, mangiferonic and mangiferolic acids with binding affinity of - 11.1 and -11 respectively. Isonympeol B and cycloartenol have -11 which were all higher than the drug Doxorubicin -9.1. Other compounds were also higher with negative binding energy higher than -9 which showed that most of the compounds have very high activities over the cancer disease. The protein- ligand interaction of the compounds with higher activities and that of the cocrystallized ligand and drugs are shown in Fig. 2.

3.2 Protein- Ligand Interaction

The Figs. 2(a-j) show the interaction of all the cocrystallized ligand, drugs and some phytocompounds that have higher activity with the protein.

From the drug and protein interaction shown above there was number of highest conventional hydrogen bond interaction in this interaction than any other compounds as hydrogen bond interaction was at ASP 105, ARG 217, MET 229

and TYR 235 while Carbon hydrogen bond interaction at TYR 49, GLN 98, VAL 101, LEU 108, ASP 109, LEU 216, ILE 218, ALA 219, GLY 227, ILE 234 and ASN 245. There were other interactions with weak bonds of van der waals, pi-anion and pi-alkyl.

The β - amyrin and protein interaction are shown below there was a conventional hydrogen bond interaction of ASP 105, while Van der waals interaction at GLN 98, GLU 102, ASN 106, LEU 108, ASP 109, HIS 201, SER 203, ASN 207, LEU 216, TYR 228, TYR 246. There were other interactions with weak bonds of van der waals, pi-anion and pi-alkyl.

From the result there was a conventional Hydrogen bond with the amino acid of ASP 105, ARG 217, SER 203, ALA 219.

From the result there was binding interaction of conventional hydrogen bond at SER 203, GLY 227, GLU 102 and other bond interaction which include carbon hydrogen bond, van der waal, Piakyl, and others at same binding site and amino acid. Showing that the bond of interaction was stronger for doxorubicin than some other compounds.

Fig. 2b. interaction of the protein with β-Amyrin

Ugariogu and Duru; SARJNP, 5(4): 9-21, 2022; Article no.SARJNP.96992

Fig. 2e. Protein interaction with ambonic acid

From the result and interaction it showed that the compounds from propolis bind very well with the protein and also fit perfectly to the protein binding cavity. Most of the compounds have good binding affinity of more than -9 kcal/mol and the

amino acids at the binding site from the cocrystalline ligand, were also the amino acids that were also binded by the compounds and drugs thereby showing that the docking was at the binding site.

Ugariogu and Duru; SARJNP, 5(4): 9-21, 2022; Article no.SARJNP.96992

Fig. 2j. Co-crystallizied ligand interaction with the protein

4. CONCLUSION

African Propolis compounds have showed potential in treatment of colorectal cancer by binding with the protein of PARPs at the active site and having high (-) binding affinity in comparison with standard drugs used in the treatment and management of colorectal cancer though some of the compounds have a limited hydrogen bond and have more weaker bonds in it interactions in comparison with the standard drugs. Propolis compounds like cylcoartenol, Isonympeol A, Ambonic acid and naringin have good binding affinity with strong bond which stop the replication of the cancer cell.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sun YS, Zhao Z, Yang ZN, Xu F, Lu HJ, Zhu ZY, Shi W, Jiang J, Yao PP, Zhu HP. Risk factors and preventions of breast cancer. International Journal of Biological Sciences. 2017;13(11):1387–1397. Available[:https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.21635](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.21635)
- 2. Cui W, Aouidate A, Wang S, Yu Q, Li Y, Yuan S. Discovering anti-cancer drugs via computational methods. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2020;11:733. Available:https://doi. org/10.3389/fphar.2020.00733.
- 3. Yan B, Yang WJ, Han XY, Han LH. Crystal structures and antitumor activity evaluation against gastric carcinoma of two novel coordination polymers. Main Group Chem. 2019;18:239–246. DOI: 10.3233/MGC-180748
- 4. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. Ca-a Cancer J. Clin. 2018;68:394–424. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21492
- 5. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2021;71:7–33, Available[:https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.216](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21654) [54.](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21654)
- 6. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global cancer statistics. GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries, CA Cancer J. Clin. 2021:1–41. Available[:https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.216](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660) [60](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660)
- 7. Hagan TL, Donovan HS. Self-advocacy and cancer: A concept analysis. J. Adv. Nurs. 2013;69(10):2348–2359.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12084](https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12084)

- 8. Zhang X, Li K, Feng J, Liu G, Feng Y. Blocking the IGF2BP1-promoted glucose metabolism of colon cancer cells via direct de-stabilizing mRNA of the LDHA enhances anticancer effects. Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids; 2021. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.20](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2020.12.020) [20.12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2020.12.020)
- 9. Parmar F, Patel C, Highland H, Pandya H, George LB. Antiproliferative efficacy of kaempferol on cultured daudi cells: An *in silico* and *in vitro* study. Adv. Biol. 2016:1– 10.

Available[:https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/952](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9521756) [1756.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9521756)

10. Sharma V, Janmeda P. Extraction, isolation and identification of flavonoid from *Euphorbia neriifolia* leaves. Arab. J. Chem. 2017;10(4):509–514. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.%20arabjc.2014.08.019) [arabjc.2014.08.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.%20arabjc.2014.08.019)

- 11. Liu H, Yang J, Li L, Shi W, Yuan X, Wu L. The natural occurring compounds targeting endoplasmic reticulum stress. Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med; 2016. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/783](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7831282%207831282) [1282 7831282](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7831282%207831282)
- 12. Patridge E, Gareiss P, Kinch MS, Hoyer D. An analysis of FDA-approved drugs: Natural products and their derivatives. Drug Discov Today. 2016;21(2):204–207. Available[:https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/) 10.1016/j.drudis.2015.01.009.
- 13. Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural products as sources of new drugs over the 30 years from 1981 to 2010. J. Nat. Prod. 2012;75(3):311–335. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1021/np200906](https://doi.org/10.1021/np200906s) [s](https://doi.org/10.1021/np200906s)
- 14. Mishra BB, Tiwari VK. Natural products: An evolving role in future drug discovery. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2011;46(10):4769– 4807. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.07.057)

[2011.07.057.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.07.057)

- 15. Ugariogu SN, Duru IA, Onwumere FC, Igoli JO. Physicochemical assessment and drug potential of some phenylpropanoid and flavonoid compounds of ethyl acetate eluate from umudike propolis*.* Trop J Nat Prod Res. 2020;4(12):1208-1214. DOI: 10.26538/tjnpr/v4i12.30
- 16. Ugariogu SN, et al. Preliminary pharmaceutical active ingredient and micronutrient evaluation of the leaf of

Corchorus olitorius (Ahiahara). Nat Ayurvedic Med. 2020,;4(2):000233.

- 17. Blicharska N, Seidel V. Chemical diversity and biological activity of African propolis. ©Springer Nature Switzerland AG. Kinghorn AD, Falk H, Gibbons S, Kobayashi J, Asakawa Y, Liu JK (eds.) Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products. 2019;109. Available[:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12858-6_3) [030-12858-6_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12858-6_3)
- 18. Hemalatha T, Umamaheswari T, Krithiga G, Sankaranarayanan P, Puvanakrishnan R. Enzymes in clinical medicine: An overview. Indian J Exp Biol. 2013;51(10): 777-788. PMID 24266101
- 19. Lehtio L, et al. Structural basis for inhibitor specificity in human poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-3 J Med Chem. 2009;52(9):3108-3111.
- 20. Southan GJ, Szabo C. Poly(ADP-Ribose) polymerase inhibitors. Current Medicinal Chemistry. 2003;10(4):321-340
- 21. Ikwu FA, Isyaku Y, Obadawo, BS, Lawal HA and Ajibowu SA. *In silico* design and molecular docking study of CDK-2 inhibitors with potent cytotoxic activity against HCT 116 colorectal cancer cell line. Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. 2020;18(51):1-12.
- 22. Irinotecan. Available[:https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/D](https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00762) [B00762](https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00762) 23/02/2023
- 23. Doxorubicin. Available:https://www.cancerresearchuk.or g/aboutcancer/treatment/drugs/doxorubicin Access on 23/02/2023

© 2022 Ugariogu and Duru; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96992*