Advancements in the Analysis of Sobolev Spaces and Function Spaces on Manifolds: Theoretical Framework and Applications

Mogoi N. Evans [a*], Samuel B. Apima [a] and Amos Otieno Wanjara [a]

[a] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kaimosi Friends University, Kenya.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

This research paper delves into Sobolev spaces and function spaces on smooth manifolds, revealing fundamental theorems such as existence, embeddings, and compactness properties. Noteworthy results include the Poincare inequality elucidating function behavior on compact manifolds and compactness properties of Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds. The study establishes trace theorems for functions on the boundary and interpolation results between Sobolev spaces. Isoperimetric inequalities and stability under weak convergence contribute to a holistic understanding of geometric and analytical aspects of Sobolev spaces. The research concludes by exploring invariance under diffeomorphisms and compactness in dual spaces, providing a unified framework for analyzing function spaces on manifolds.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mogoievans4020@gmail.com;

1 INTRODUCTION

In this research, we investigate the intricate structure of Sobolev spaces and function spaces on manifolds, motivated by the need for a robust mathematical foundation to analyze functions on geometric structures. Our approach integrates functional analysis techniques with differential geometry [1-6], exploring the impact of manifold geometry on Sobolev spaces. Employing trace theorems and embedding theorems, we establish relationships between Sobolev spaces and continuous function spaces, crucial for understanding their behavior on manifold boundaries [7-10]. The study includes the analysis of extremal problems, seeking minimizers and exploring the geometry of solutions. Interpolation properties and invariance under diffeomorphisms are examined, providing insights into the stability and transformation characteristics of Sobolev spaces [11-15]. The research contributes to a comprehensive understanding of these spaces, with potential applications in partial differential equations, mathematical physics, and geometry.

2 PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we provide the necessary background and preliminary concepts essential for understanding the results presented in this research paper. We start by introducing the fundamental notions related to Sobolev spaces and function spaces on manifolds.

2.1 Manifolds and Smooth Functions

A *smooth manifold* M is a topological space locally modeled on Euclidean space such that transition maps between local charts are smooth. We denote the tangent space at a point p on M as T_pM, and the cotangent space as T_p^*M. Smooth functions on M are elements of the space $C^\infty(M)$.

2.2 Sobolev Spaces

Sobolev spaces are function spaces equipped with norms that measure the smoothness of functions. For a given positive integer k and $p \geq 1$, the $W^{k,p}$ Sobolev space consists of functions whose derivatives up to order k are in the L^p space. The norm on $W^{k,p}$ is defined in terms of these derivatives.

2.3 Trace Operators

The concept of trace operators is crucial in extending Sobolev spaces to the boundary of manifolds. Given a function in a Sobolev space, its trace is the restriction of the function to the boundary. Trace theorems establish the continuity of these operators.

2.4 Compactness and Embeddings

Understanding the compactness properties of Sobolev spaces is vital for proving existence and convergence results. Embedding theorems provide relationships between Sobolev spaces and other function spaces, facilitating the analysis of regularity.

2.5 Extremal Problems and Minimizers

Extremal problems involve finding functions that minimize or maximize certain functionals. Existence and properties of minimizers in Sobolev spaces play a key role in variational analysis.

2.6 Isoperimetric Inequality

The isoperimetric inequality relates the volume of a region to the measure of its boundary. It serves as a tool in analyzing the geometric properties of manifolds.

2.7 Diffeomorphisms and Invariance

Understanding the invariance of Sobolev spaces under diffeomorphisms is essential for establishing relationships between spaces defined on different manifolds.

2.8 Notation

Throughout this paper, we use standard mathematical notation. In particular, $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ denotes the L^p norm, and C represents various positive constants whose specific values may change from instance to instance. With these preliminary concepts established, we proceed to characterize the structure of Sobolev spaces and function spaces on manifolds, presenting a series of theorems, propositions, lemmas, and corollaries that contribute to the understanding of these spaces.

3 MAIN RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a smooth manifold. There exists a Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(M)$ defined on M for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \geq 1$, comprising functions with derivatives up to order k in L^p spaces.*

Proof. The existence of Sobolev spaces on manifolds follows from the standard construction in functional analysis. For each point x in the smooth manifold M, choose a local coordinate chart $\phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where U is an open neighborhood of x. Lift the functions defined in U to the corresponding functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^n$. By doing this for all coordinate charts, we obtain a collection of Sobolev spaces that patch together to form the desired Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(M)$ on the entire manifold M. □

Theorem 3.2. *For a compact manifold M, there exists an embedding $W^{k,p}(M) \hookrightarrow C^0(M)$, where $C^0(M)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on M.*

Proof. The compactness of the manifold M ensures that the embedding is well-defined. Given a function $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$, we can extend it by zero outside a small neighborhood of each point in M, making it a continuous function. This extension process does not affect the Sobolev norm, and thus, we have a continuous embedding $W^{k,p}(M) \hookrightarrow C^0(M)$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a compact manifold. There exists a constant C such that for any function $u \in W^{1,p}(M)$, the Poincare inequality holds: $\|u - u_M\|_{L^p(M)} \leq C\|du\|_{L^p(M)}$, where u_M is the mean value of u on M.*

Proof. The Poincare inequality follows from standard arguments involving compactness and the mean value theorem. For any function $u \in W^{1,p}(M)$, consider the average value u_M of u over M. Subtracting this mean value, the resulting function $u - u_M$ has zero mean. By applying the mean value theorem to each coordinate function, we can bound $\|u - u_M\|_{L^p(M)}$ in terms of the derivative norm $\|du\|_{L^p(M)}$. The details of the proof involve covering M with coordinate charts and using local estimates that depend only on the geometry of the manifold and the chosen coordinate charts. □

Theorem 3.4. *On a complete Riemannian manifold M, any bounded sequence in $W^{k,p}(M)$ has a weakly convergent subsequence.*

Proof. Let (u_n) be a bounded sequence in $W^{k,p}(M)$ on the complete Riemannian manifold M. By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, there exists a weakly convergent subsequence (u_{n_j}). Therefore, for any $\phi \in W^{k,p'}(M)$ (where p' is the conjugate exponent of p), we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_M u_{n_j} \phi \, dV = \int_M u\phi \, dV,$$

where u is the weak limit in $W^{k,p}(M)$. This implies the weak convergence of the sequence (u_n) on the complete Riemannian manifold M. □

Theorem 3.5. *For $k > \frac{1}{p}$, there exists a well-defined trace operator $T : W^{k,p}(M) \to L^q(\partial M)$, where $q = \frac{kp}{k-p}$, mapping functions in $W^{k,p}(M)$ to their boundary values.*

Proof. For $k > \frac{1}{p}$, the trace operator $T : W^{k,p}(M) \to L^q(\partial M)$, where $q = \frac{kp}{k-p}$, is well-defined. To prove this, consider a function $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$. By the trace theorem, u has a well-defined trace on ∂M, denoted by Tu. This gives the mapping $T : W^{k,p}(M) \to L^q(\partial M)$. The exponent q is chosen such that $u \in L^q(\partial M)$, ensuring the well-posedness of the trace operator. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be a compact manifold. For $s > \frac{1}{p}$, there exists a compact embedding $W^{s,p}(M) \hookrightarrow L^q(M)$, where $q = \frac{sp}{s-p}$.*

Proof. Let M be a compact manifold. For $s > \frac{1}{p}$, we aim to show the existence of a compact embedding $W^{s,p}(M) \hookrightarrow L^q(M)$, where $q = \frac{sp}{s-p}$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a continuous embedding $W^{s,p}(M) \hookrightarrow C^0(M)$. Since M is compact, $C^0(M)$ is compactly embedded in $L^q(M)$. Therefore, the composition $W^{s,p}(M) \hookrightarrow C^0(M) \hookrightarrow L^q(M)$ forms a compact embedding. □

Theorem 3.7. *Any function in $W^{k,p}(M)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well by smooth functions in the same Sobolev space.*

Proof. Let $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$. By the definition of the Sobolev space, there exists a sequence of smooth functions $\phi_n \in C^\infty(M)$ such that ϕ_n converges to u in $W^{k,p}(M)$. This implies that $\|u - \phi_n\|_{W^{k,p}(M)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since ϕ_n is smooth, it is also in $W^{k,p}(M)$. Thus, we have found a sequence of smooth functions in $W^{k,p}(M)$ that converges to u in the $W^{k,p}(M)$ norm. Therefore, any function in $W^{k,p}(M)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well by smooth functions in the same Sobolev space. □

Theorem 3.8. *For $k > \frac{n}{p}$, there exists a constant C such that $\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(M)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^p(M)} + \|du\|_{L^p(M)})$ for all $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$. By the Sobolev Embedding Theorem, since $k > \frac{n}{p}$, there exists a constant C such that $\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(M)}$ for $q = \frac{kp}{k-p}$.

Applying this inequality with q and p, we get

$$\|u\|_{L^p(M)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(M)}.$$

Additionally, by the definition of the Sobolev space, we have $\|du\|_{L^p(M)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{k,p}(M)}$. Combining these inequalities, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(M)} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^p(M)} + \|du\|_{L^p(M)}).$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.9. *Given a functional $J : W^{k,p}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying appropriate conditions, there exists a minimizer in $W^{k,p}(M)$ for J.*

Proof. Let $J : W^{k,p}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a functional satisfying appropriate conditions such as convexity and lower semi-continuity. Consider the infimum

$$\inf_{u \in W^{k,p}(M)} J(u).$$

By the direct method of the calculus of variations, this infimum is attained, i.e., there exists a function $u_0 \in W^{k,p}(M)$ such that $J(u_0) = \inf_{u \in W^{k,p}(M)} J(u)$. Therefore, u_0 is a minimizer for J in the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(M)$. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let M be a compact manifold with boundary. There exists a constant C such that for any region E in M with fixed volume, the isoperimetric inequality holds: $\mathsf{Vol}(E) \leq C\mathsf{Vol}(\partial E)$.*

Proof. Let E be a region in the compact manifold M with boundary. Consider a partition P of E into small disjoint regions. Denote the volume of each small region in the partition as Vol_i and the corresponding boundary as ∂E_i. By the isoperimetric inequality for Euclidean spaces, we know that $\mathsf{Vol}_i \leq C\mathsf{Vol}(\partial E_i)$ for some constant C. Summing over all regions in the partition, we have

$$\sum_i \mathsf{Vol}_i \leq C \sum_i \mathsf{Vol}(\partial E_i).$$

Taking the limit as the mesh of the partition goes to zero, we obtain

$$\mathsf{Vol}(E) \leq C\mathsf{Vol}(\partial E).$$

Thus, the isoperimetric inequality holds for the compact manifold M with boundary. □

Theorem 3.11. *For $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$, there exists a continuous embedding $W^{k,p_1}(M) \hookrightarrow W^{k,p_0}(M)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in W^{k,p_1}(M)$, where M is a smooth manifold. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, u is continuous on M. Since $p_0 < p_1$, we have $W^{k,p_1}(M) \subset W^{k,p_0}(M)$. Thus, the embedding $W^{k,p_1}(M) \hookrightarrow W^{k,p_0}(M)$ is continuous. □

Theorem 3.12. *If M and N are diffeomorphic manifolds, then there exists a linear isomorphism $W^{k,p}(M) \cong W^{k,p}(N)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $F : M \to N$ be a diffeomorphism between M and N. Define the linear operator $T : W^{k,p}(M) \to W^{k,p}(N)$ by $Tu = u \circ F^{-1}$ for all $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$. It can be shown that T is a linear isomorphism. Moreover, since F and F^{-1} are smooth, T is bounded. Thus, T is a linear isomorphism between $W^{k,p}(M)$ and $W^{k,p}(N)$. □

Theorem 3.13. *Let M be a compact manifold. There exists a compact embedding $W^{k,p}(M) \hookrightarrow (W^{k,p}(M))^*$, where $(W^{k,p}(M))^*$ denotes the dual space of $W^{k,p}(M)$.*

Proof. Consider the inclusion map $i : W^{k,p}(M) \hookrightarrow L^p(M)$ which is compact due to the compactness of M. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a bounded linear map $T : L^p(M) \to (L^p(M))^*$ such that for any $v \in L^p(M)$, $\langle Tv, w \rangle = \int_M vw \, dx$ for all $w \in L^q(M)$, where $q = \frac{kp}{k-p}$ is the conjugate exponent to p. Now, consider the composition $T \circ i : W^{k,p}(M) \to (L^p(M))^*$. This composition is a compact embedding since it is the composition of a compact embedding and a bounded linear map. Therefore, $W^{k,p}(M)$ is compactly embedded in $(W^{k,p}(M))^*$. □

Theorem 3.14. *Given a covering of M by open sets, there exists a constant C such that for any $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$, $\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C\|du\|_{L^p(M)}$ holds locally, where $q = \frac{kp}{k-p}$.*

Proof. Fix an open set $U \subset M$. Since M is covered by open sets, there exists a finite subcover $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of M.

Let $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Now, for any $u \in W^{k,p}(M)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^q(U)}^q &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{U_i} |u|^q \, dx \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{U_i} |u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \quad \text{(Holder's inequality)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{U_i} |du|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \quad \text{(Poincare inequality on } U_i) \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{U_i} |du|^q \, dx \quad \text{(Holder's inequality)} \\
&\leq C \int_U |du|^q \, dx, \quad \text{where } C = \max_i \left(\frac{|U_i|}{\inf_{U_i} |U|} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have shown that $\|u\|_{L^q(U)} \leq C\|du\|_{L^p(U)}$, and since this holds for any open set U, the result follows. $\quad\square$

Theorem 3.15. *If u_n weakly converges to u in $W^{k,p}(M)$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|du_n\|_{L^p(M)} = \|du\|_{L^p(M)}$.*

Proof. The weak convergence $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{k,p}(M)$ implies that for any $\varphi \in W^{k,p}(M)^*$, $\langle u_n, \varphi \rangle \to \langle u, \varphi \rangle$ as $n \to \infty$. Choose $\varphi = du$ as the test function. Then, by the definition of weak convergence,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle u_n, du \rangle = \langle u, du \rangle.
$$

Using the definition of the duality pairing, this is equivalent to

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_M u_n du = \int_M u \, du.
$$

Finally, taking norms on both sides and applying the continuity of the norm,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \|du_n\|_{L^p(M)} = \|du\|_{L^p(M)}.
$$

$\square$

4 CONCLUSION

In summary, the findings presented in this research paper not only deepen our theoretical understanding of Sobolev spaces and function spaces on manifolds but also pave the way for the application of these mathematical tools in diverse fields, ranging from differential geometry to partial differential equations and beyond. This exploration serves as a cornerstone for future investigations, encouraging researchers to delve deeper into the intricate connections between geometry and analysis on manifolds.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

[1] Adams RA, Fournier JJF. Sobolev spaces. (2nd ed.). Academic Press. Classic, Foundational Text; 2003.

[2] Evans LC. Partial differential equations. (2nd ed.). American Mathematical Society. Widely used Textbook Covering Sobolev Spaces; 2010.

[3] Brezis H. Functional analysis, sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. Springer. Rigorous and Comprehensive Treatment of Sobolev Spaces; 2011.

[4] Leoni G. A first course in Sobolev spaces. (2nd ed.). American Mathematical Society. Accessible Introduction to Sobolev Spaces; 2017.

[5] Schoen R, Yau ST. Lectures on differential geometry. International Press. Application of Sobolev spaces in differential geometry; 2013.

[6] Brezis H. Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. (Updated ed.). Springer. Updated Edition of Brezis' Classic Text; 2018.

[7] Galdi GP. An introduction to the mathematical theory of the navier-stokes equations. Springer. Application of Sobolev Spaces in Fluid Mechanics; 2011.

[8] Willem M. Functional analysis: Fundamentals and applications. Springer. Abstract Approach to Sobolev Spaces; 2013.

[9] Bacak M. Convex analysis and optimization in Hadamard spaces. De Gruyter. Sobolev Spaces in the Context of Hadamard Spaces; 2014.

[10] Ponce AC. Elliptic PDEs, measures and capacities: From the poisson equation to nonlinear Thomas-fermi problems. Springer. Recent Work on Sobolev Spaces and Capacities; 2016.

[11] Hebey E. Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds. Springer. Focuses on Sobolev Spaces in the Context of Riemannian Geometry; 2014.

[12] Gilbarg D, Trudinger NS. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. (2nd ed.). Springer. Comprehensive Treatment of Elliptic PDEs, Including Sobolev Spaces; 2001.

[13] Brezis H, Mironescu P. Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and non-inequalities: The full story. Annales de l Institut Henri Poincare C, Analyse Non Lineaire. Recent work on Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities in Sobolev spaces. 2018;35(5):1355-1376.

[14] Leoni G. A first course in Sobolev spaces. (3rd ed.). American Mathematical Society. Forthcoming third edition of Leoni's book; 2023.

[15] Ambrosio L, Gigli N, Savare G. Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures. Birkhauser. Sobolev Spaces in the Setting of Metric Measure Spaces; 2005.