



Effect of Organic and Inorganic Mulch on Growth, Yield and Quality of Strawberry CV. Winter Dawn

**Sourabh Sharma ^a, Pooja Pant ^{a*}, Madhu Sangwan ^a
and Rahul Sahrawat ^a**

^a *Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, SGT University, Gurugram, Haryana, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i34049

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112599>

Original Research Article

Received: 07/01/2024

Accepted: 11/03/2024

Published: 11/03/2024

ABSTRACT

The present investigation is to find out the effect of organic and inorganic mulch on growth, yield and quality of strawberry cv. winter dawn was carried out at Horticulture Demonstration Farm, Department of Horticulture, SGT University, Gurugram (Haryana), India during the year 2022-23. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with seven treatments replicated thrice. Treatment T₆ i.e. mulching with dry banana leaf was found to be best in terms of plant height (20.46 cm), number of leaves (22.66), number of crown (5.02), number of runners (16.30), length of runners (17.90 cm), number of flowers (49.40), yield/plant (170.30 g), yield per hectare (45.68 ton), plant fresh weight (135.67 g), plant dry weight (49.56 g), berry size (44.58 mm), berry volume (36.95 cc) and berry weight (23.15 g), TSS (11.67 °Brix) and Titrable acidity (0.24%), Leaf nutrient status N (22.86%), P (3.10%) and K (85.00%).

Keywords: *Strawberry; organic mulch; inorganic mulch; production; yield; quality.*

*Corresponding author: E-mail: poojapant17@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Commercially grown strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) is a monoecious octoploid ($2n=56$), and belongs to the family Rosaceae. Botanically, it is an aggregate fruit with seeds on the surface [1]. The presence of ellagic acid prevents cancer and heart diseases [2], and a cornucopia of anthocyanin makes it rich in antioxidants [3].

Organic and inorganic mulches serve distinct purposes in strawberry cultivation. Organic mulches, such as banana leaves, straw, wood chips and compost are popular for their ability to enhance soil health and protect strawberry plants. They excel at moisture retention, reducing weed competition, and regulating soil temperature, all of which are crucial for successful strawberry growth [4,5]. Organic mulches also contribute organic matter as they break down, enriching the soil over time. Moreover, they offer protection to the berries by keeping them clean and free from soil splashes, which can lead to fungal issues [6].

On the other hand, inorganic mulches, like plastic or landscape fabric, are chosen for their exceptional weed control and moisture preservation capabilities. They create a physical barrier that prevents weed growth and conserves soil moisture efficiently. While they lack the soil-enriching qualities of organic mulches, they are long-lasting and particularly effective in commercial strawberry farming where weed suppression is critical [7]. In summary, the choice between organic and inorganic mulches in strawberry cultivation depends on specific goals and preferences, with organic mulches emphasizing soil health and inorganic mulches focusing on weed control and moisture conservation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research trial was conducted during the year 2022-2023 at Horticulture Demonstration Farm, Shree Guru Gobind Singh Tricentenary (SGT) University in Bhudera, Gurugram, Haryana. Using Randomized Block Design (RBD) layout, the experiment was conducted with 7 treatments replicated thrice with different organic and inorganic mulches viz T₀ (Control as mulching was not used), T₁ (Wheat Straw), T₂ (Neem Leaf), T₃ (Polythene), T₄ (Paddy Straw), T₅ (Wooden Shaving), T₆ (Dry Banana Leaf).

Data for vegetative, reproductive and quality parameters was recorded following the standard methods. Number of leaves, number of crown and number of runners were counted manually and averaged together individually for each parameters. Plant height was assessed with the help of wooden scale. Yield and plant fresh and dry weight parameters were measured using an electronic weighing balance. Berry size was measured through vernier calipers and volume by water displacement methods. Hand refractometer was used to calculate Total Soluble Solids and expressed in °Brix. For estimation of acidity titration methods was used. For Leaf nutrient analysis micro-kjeldahl method [8] was used to estimate total nitrogen, Vanadomolybdophosphoric yellow colour method was used to determine phosphorus content and the potassium content was determined by flame photometer [9].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Attributes

Data recorded for the vegetative growth attributes presented in Table 1, showed that the application of organic or inorganic mulch significantly influenced the vegetative growth parameters of strawberry plants. The maximum plant height (20.46 cm), number of leaves (22.66), number of runners 75 DAT (16.30), length of runners (17.09 cm) and number of crowns (5.02) was recorded in T₆ i.e. Dry Banana Leaf, whereas, minimum values for above-mentioned parameters were recorded in Control (T₀). Enhancement in plant growth attributes under T₆ treatment can be due to the fact the organic mulch has suppressed the growth of weeds, which leads to the decrease in competition of nutrients for plants and also improves soil structure, enhancing its water-holding capacity and aeration to make the nutrients available for better growth of the plant. A similar finding was reported by Verma *et. al.* [10], and Florentina *et. al.* [11].

3.2 Yield Attributes

Data recorded for yield parameters were also affected by various treatments (Table 1). The maximum number of flowers (49.40), number of fruits per plant (34.67), fruit yield per plant (170 g), and yield per hectare (45.68 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T₆ (Dry Banana Leaf), whereas, minimum values for above-mentioned parameters were recorded in Control (T₀). An




Fig. 1. Effect of different growing media on leaf nutrient uptake (NPK)

Table 1. Effect of organic and inorganic mulch on Plant Height (cm), Number of leaves, Number of crown, Number of runners, Length of runners, no. of flowers, No. of fruits/ plant, yield/plant and yield per hectare of the Strawberry cv. Winter Dawn

Treatment	Plant Height (cm)				Number of leaves				No. of crown	Number of runner 75 DAT	length of runner 75 DAT	No. of flowers	No. of fruits/ plant	Yield/Plant (g)	Yield/ha (Tonn)
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT							
T ₀ Control	3.56	8.92	13.11	16.70	4.62	8.92	12.90	16.80	1.12	10.40	14.83	28.62	12.22	112.00	35.00
T ₁ Wheat Straw	4.62	9.98	14.17	17.76	5.34	9.98	13.82	18.10	1.68	12	15.04	30.49	17.88	120.33	37.33
T ₂ Neem Leaf	5.32	10.68	14.87	18.46	5.43	10.68	15.30	18.24	2.21	13.60	15.54	32.19	19.75	144.67	39.67
T ₃ Polythene	6.27	11.63	15.82	19.41	6.27	11.63	14.50	18.41	3.89	12.80	16.57	38.33	24.33	155.22	41.73
T ₄ Paddy Straw	5.98	11.34	15.53	19.12	4.98	11.34	15.60	18.90	3.21	13.50	16.12	35.62	21.33	151.67	40.67
T ₅ Wooden Shaving	6.81	12.34	16.53	20.06	7.10	12.34	15.95	21.30	4.62	14	16.87	40.99	29.00	162.67	43.33
T ₆ Dry Banana Leaf	7.32	12.68	16.87	20.46	8.31	14.20	17.70	22.66	5.02	16.30	17.09	49.40	34.67	170.33	45.68
C.D.	0.88	1.70	1.64	1.40	0.76	1.16	1.57	2.00	0.50	1.37	1.89	1.24	0.95	1.09	0.24
SE(m)	0.29	0.55	0.53	0.45	0.25	0.38	0.51	0.65	0.16	0.45	0.61	0.49	0.11	0.43	0.74

Table 2. Effect of organic and in-organic mulch on berry size, berry volume, berry weight, TSS, Total sugar, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar and leaf nutrient uptake status of the Strawberry cv. Winter Dawn

Treatment	Berry size (mm)	Berry volume (cc)	Berry weight (gm)	TSS (°Brix)	Titrable acidity (%)	Total Sugar	Reducing sugar	Non reducing	Leaf nutrient status		
									N	P	K
T ₀ Control	25.62	26.45	12.24	7.95	0.62	4.50	3.23	1.27	18.88	18.88	18.88
T ₁ Wheat Straw	32.45	28.35	16.42	8.62	0.53	5.65	4.42	1.23	20.37	20.37	20.37
T ₂ Neem Leaf	35.62	31.34	17.34	9.84	0.49	5.51	4.62	0.89	21.20	21.20	21.20
T ₃ Polythene	42.47	35.49	21.42	10.68	0.29	6.66	5.46	1.20	22.05	22.05	22.05
T ₄ Paddy Straw	38.21	32.64	18.46	9.26	0.59	5.98	5.03	0.95	20.20	20.20	20.20
T ₅ Wooden Shaving	40.35	33.27	19.35	10.26	0.39	5.99	5.12	0.87	21.14	21.14	21.14
T ₆ Dry Banana Leaf	44.58	36.95	23.15	11.67	0.24	6.96	5.64	1.32	22.86	22.86	22.86
C.D.	1.37	1.23	0.16	3.76	1.37	0.22	0.16	0.07	0.80	0.80	0.80
SE(m)	4.16	0.87	0.49	11.41	4.16	0.69	0.48	0.21	2.48	2.48	2.48

increase in nutrient availability helps in reproductive cell division, which is meiosis, which leads to an increase in the number of flowers, the number of fruits, and yield. These findings were also in conformity with the findings of Kher *et. al.* [12] and Kim *et. al.* [13] who reported maximum reproductive growth of strawberry while using organic mulch and [14] Bal and Singh also reported high yield by use of organic mulch.

3.3 Quality of Fruits

Data recorded for the vegetative growth attributes presented in Table 2 showed that all the quality parameters of fruits and nutrient uptake were significantly influenced by different treatments. Berry size (44.58 mm) and berry volume (36.95 cc) and berry weight (23.15 g) were significantly higher in treatment (T₆) by the application of dry banana leaf as mulch. The increase in berry size, berry volume, and berry weight may be due to the increased cell division in plants, resulting in enhancing the berry parameters of the fruit. Notably, these findings align with research conducted by Kumar *et al.* [15] Sonkar *et. al.* [16].

The lowest titratable acidity (0.24%) and highest TSS (11.67 °Brix), total sugar (6.01%), reducing sugars (5.93%), and non-reducing sugars (0.53 %) were recorded in treatment T₆ (Dry Banana Leaf). These findings were also in conformity with the findings of Ambad *et.al* [17] who reported maximum quality parameters of strawberries while using organic mulching, which created micro-climate and accumulation of photosynthates, resulting in the increased quality parameters of the strawberries. Banik *et. al.* [18] also reported good quality fruit in plum after use of mulch.

3.4 Nutrient Uptake

It was observed that the maximum leaf nitrogen (22.36%), phosphorus (3.10%) and potassium (85%) was recorded under treatment T₆ (Dry banana leaf) and the minimum leaf nutrient was found in Control (T₀). The significant improvement in leaf nutrient status was achieved through the utilization of dry banana leaf treatment which can be primarily ascribed to its exceptional moisture retention capabilities. This organic mulch excels in conserving soil moisture, thereby creating an advantageous microclimate that fosters the optimal growth conditions for strawberries. The veracity of these findings is further underscored by the research

conducted by Patil *et. al.* [19] and Sharma and Kathiravan [20].

4. CONCLUSION

All the treatments appeared to significantly differ from each other and have a significant effect on the growth yield and quality of strawberries. On the basis of experimental findings, T₆ *i.e.* mulching with Dry banana leaf was found best in growth parameters, flowering parameters, fruiting parameters, yield, and quality parameters. The current study tends to demonstrate the significance of using organic mulch to ensure the moisture conservation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Darnell R. Strawberry growth and development. In: The Strawberry: A Book for Growers and Others, held at Florida, Gainesville, 2003 (Childers NF ed). Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA; 2003.
2. Nazir N, Singh SR, Sharma MK, Bandy FA. Effect of integrated organic nutrient sources on soil nutrient status and microbial population in strawberry field. *Indian Journal of Horticulture.* 2012;69:177-180.
3. Sun J, Chu YF, Wu X, Liu RH. Antioxidants and anti-proliferative activities of common fruits. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 2002;16:35-44.
4. Bakshi *et. al.* Effect of different mulches on yield and quality of Oso Grande strawberry. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 2014;70: 184-185.
5. Bakshi *et. al.* Effect of mulching on soil moisture, yield and quality of pomegranate. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation.* 2014;43: 92-95.
6. Ali A, Gaur GS. Effect of mulching on growth, fruit yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch). *Asian Journal of Horticulture.* 2007;2(1): 149-151.
7. Ghosh SN, Bera B. Effect of mulching on soil moisture, yield and quality of pomegranate. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation.* 2015;43: 92-95.

8. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; 1973.
9. Chapman HD, Pratt PF. Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plant and Water. University of California, Berkeley; 1961.
10. Verma et. Al. Comparative study of drip irrigation and surface method with and without plastic mulching in mango cv. Dashehari. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2005;ss34(1-2): 9.
11. Florentina et. al. Studies on the effect of mulching in pomegranate cv. Ganesh. Proceedings of National symp. Prod. Util. and Export of Under Utilized Fruits with Commercial Potentialities, held at Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, West Bengal. 2013;22-24:163-167.
12. Kher et. al. Response of soil covers on guava cv. L49. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2010;6(2):10- 14.
13. Kim et. al. Mulch enhances apple fruit storage quality. Acta Horticulturae. 2008;557: 433-439.
14. Bal and Singh. Response of mulching on fruit quality and yield of guava (Psidium guajava L.). Journal of Environment and Ecology. 2011;26(4):1630- 1631
15. Kumar et. al. Environmental effect on growth and yield of tomato. Journal of Biological Sciences. 2012;5(6):759-767.
16. Sonkar et. al. A note on the effect of different types of mulches on growth, yield and quality of Nagpur mandarin. Orissa Journal of Horticulture. 2012;30(1):137-138.
17. Ambad et. al. Growth, yield and quality of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) cv. Chandler as influenced by various mulching materials. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2007;9(7):701-706.
18. Banik et. al. Effect of pre-harvest reflective mulch on growth and fruit quality of plum (Prunus domestica L.). Acta Horticulturae. 2011;772:323-326.
19. Patil et. al. Effect of various mulches on growth, yield and quality of kinnow. The Bioscan. 2011;10(3):1379-1382.
20. Sharma and Kathiravan. Impact of different mulching materials on growth, yield and quality of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch). Progressive Horticulture. 2009; 44(2):234-236

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112599>