



## **Growth and Yield of Onion as Influenced by Sulphur and Boron with Mulch Materials**

**Sajeed Hasan Bappy<sup>1</sup>, Khaleda Khatun<sup>1</sup>, Tahmina Mostarin<sup>1</sup>,  
Mutasim Fuad Shuvo<sup>1</sup>, Mst. Umme Habiba<sup>1</sup>, Most. Jakia Siddika<sup>1</sup>,  
Md. Murshedul Alam<sup>1</sup> and Md. Monir Hossain<sup>2\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture Extension, Ministry of Agriculture, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors KK and TM planned the experiment and lead the research. Authors SHB, KK and TM designed and carried out the research. Author MMH performed the statistical analysis. Authors SHB and MFS carried out the research on the field. Authors SHB, MUH and MJS collected the data. Authors SHB and MMH wrote the manuscript. Authors MJS, MMA and MFS managed the literature searches. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/APRJ/2021/v7i330154

#### Editor(s):

(1) Dr. K. S. Vinayaka, Kumadvathi First Grade College, India.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Vishwanath R. Yalamalle, India.

(2) Hala Adel Abdel Aleem, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/65621>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 14 December 2020**

**Accepted 18 February 2021**

**Published 12 March 2021**

### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at the research farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka. During the period from October, 2018 to March, 2019 to find out the growth and yield of onion as influenced by Sulphur and Boron with mulch materials. The experiment consisted of two factors: Factor A: Four doses of Sulphur and Boron fertilizer viz.  $F_0 = S_{0kg}B_{0kg/ha}$  (Control),  $F_1 = S_{20kg}B_{1kg/ha}$ ,  $F_2 = S_{40kg}B_{2kg/ha}$ ,  $F_3 = S_{60kg}B_{3kg/ha}$  and Factor B: Four types of mulch viz.  $M_0 =$  No mulch and no irrigation,  $M_1 =$  Black polythene,  $M_2 =$  Water hyacinth and  $M_3 =$  Rice straw. There were 16 treatment combinations and experiment was setup in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. In case of Sulphur and Boron treatments at 60 days after transplanting (DAT), the highest plant height 53.38 cm, maximum leaf number 10.48, highest bulb

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: shawonjess01@gmail.com;

length 4.83 cm., maximum neck diameter (1.31 cm), highest dry matter content (15.98%), dry matter content of leaf (24.74%), single bulb weight (39.93 g), yield per plot (0.80 kg) and yield per hectare (9.98 t) were obtained from F<sub>3</sub> treatment. Among the mulch materials, highest plant height at 60 DAT (52.51 cm), maximum leaf number (10.19), highest bulb length (4.52 cm), highest bulb diameter (5.74 cm), maximum neck diameter (1.26 cm), dry matter content of leaf (23.35%), single bulb weight (39.05 g), yield per plot (0.78 kg) and yield per hectare (9.76 t) were obtained from M<sub>1</sub> treatment. In combined effect, the highest plant height at 60 DAT (55.54 cm), maximum leaf number (11.47), longest bulb length (5.51 cm), highest bulb diameter (6.68 cm), maximum diameter of neck (1.42 cm), dry matter content of leaf (27.48%), single bulb weight (42.40 g), yield per plot (0.85 kg) and yield per hectare (11.21 t) were obtained from F<sub>3</sub>M<sub>1</sub> treatment (S<sub>60kg/ha</sub> + B<sub>3kg/ha</sub> with black polythene mulch). The highest gross return (Tk. 3, 36, 300/ha), net return (Tk. 1, 88, 934/ha) and benefit cost ration (2.28) was obtained from the treatment combination (F<sub>3</sub>M<sub>1</sub>). Accordingly, for high growth, high yield, and economic point of view, F<sub>3</sub>M<sub>1</sub> treatment is recommended in onion cultivation.

**Keywords:** Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR); boron; mulch materials; onion; sulphur and yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is by far one of the most important bulb crops and is one of the major popular vegetable crops in the world [1]. But in Bangladesh, it is extensively used as a spice for cooking purposes. Among the spices grown in Bangladesh, onion is ranked the first in respect to production and also in area. An edible portion of 100 g onion bulb contains 1.4 g protein, 11.2 g carbohydrate, 12 mg ascorbic acid, fiber (0.6 g), moisture (86.8 g) and several vitamins like vitamin A (0.012 mg), vitamin C (11 mg), thiamine (0.08 mg), riboflavin (0.01 mg), niacin (0.2 mg) and also some minerals like phosphorus (39 mg), calcium (32 mg), sodium (1.0 mg), iron (0.7 mg) and potassium (157 mg) and 49 calories [2]. It is grown in almost all the districts of Bangladesh, but it is commercially cultivated in the greater districts of Faridpur, Rajshahi, Dhaka, Mymensingh, Comilla, Jessore, Rangpur and Pabna [3]. Onion production in Bangladesh during the year of 2013-2014 was 13, 87,000 metric tons from 3, 73,000 acre of land with an average yield of 3,718 kg/acre which is considered low when compared to other onion producing countries in the world [4]. With the increase in population, the demand as well as the import of onion increases day by day. But due to limitation of land it is not possible to raise the production of the crop horizontally. The expansion of onion cultivation will hamper the cultivation of other profitable crops particularly rice, the staple food grain of Bangladesh. The only way to solve the problem is to increase per hectare yield. The average yield per hectare is about 6.82 tons which is much lower than other developed countries where average production is over 17.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> [1].

Successful onion cultivation largely depends on the optimum cultural management practices. This includes judicious application of manures and fertilizers, efficient use of available soil moisture, spacing and time of planting. However, soil fertility is the main factor for increasing production of any crop. Soil nutrient management is therefore, a very vital area of research. In an integrated nutrient management homestead cropping pattern, sole application of either organic manure or chemical fertilizers gave inferior results to their integrated use. Researcher's opinion that some secondary and trace elements like sulphur and boron can play a vital role in increasing the yield of onion seed [5]. Onion is a sulphur loving plant and is required much for proper growth and yield of onion [6]. Sulphur has been found not only to increase the bulb yield of onion but also to improve its quality, especially pungency and flavors [7]. Boron is essential for normal growth and production of sound and healthy vegetables. Boron has been linked with initiation and development of growing points, movement of sugars and starches to developing parts, movement of nutrient elements within the plant, formation of plant hormones affecting growth, root growth and health of fleshy roots, flower and fruit set and quality and flavor of vegetables [8]. Besides this, boron is known to play many important functions in plant metabolism. In the absence of boron, proper development of meristematic tissues of plant does not take place. Boron is necessary for cell division, nitrogen and carbohydrate metabolism, salt absorption and water relation in plant. Boron is also required in the translocation of sugars, starches, nitrogen and phosphorus and synthesis of amino acids and proteins [9].

Out of these, efficient use of soil moisture is very important, because rainfall is scanty during Rabi season in Bangladesh when farmers grow this valued crop. Onions are rather sensitive to drought stress [9]. One single most important factor that influences seed yield is soil moisture therefore, onions require frequent irrigations. The crop requires 350-500mm of water over the growing season [10]; hence adequate moisture possibly through irrigation is important in the production of onions. Onion root system is shallow so it extracts very little water from depths beyond 60 cm. Further, growers have to depend either on natural precipitation or supplemental irrigation for growing onion. On the other hand, irrigation feasibilities are not sufficient in all the regions of the country. Sometimes pump cannot lift water in dry season due to lowering of water layer. As a result, the production of onion is hampered to a great extent. Soil moisture is lost through two main processes: i) Evaporation from soil; and ii) Transpiration through plant leaves. Conservation of soil moisture may help in preventing the loss of water through evaporation permitting maximum utilization of moisture by plants. However, onion growth and development is greatly influenced by mulching and irrigation [10].

Use of various mulches like black polythene, transparent polythene, rice straw, saw dust, water hyacinth reported to conserve soil moisture efficiently in garlic and onion as reported by many workers [11,12]. Again, Islam et al. [13] reported that different types of mulches including rice straw and polythene significantly increased the growth and yield of onion.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Farm of Sher-e- Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka, during the period from October 2018 to February 2019. The experimental site was located on an elevation of 8 meters above the sea level in Agro-ecological zone of "Madhupur Tract" (AEZ-28) [14]. The soil was sandy loam and medium high land in texture with the pH value of 5.62.

### 2.2 Experiment Frame Work

The research consisted of two factors: Factor A: Four doses of Sulphur and Boron fertilizers viz.  $F_0 = S_{0kg}B_{0kg/ha}$  (Control),  $F_1 = S_{20kg}B_{1kg/ha}$

(Sulphur 20 kg/ha and Boron 1 kg/ha),  $F_2 = S_{40kg}B_{2kg/ha}$  (Sulphur 40 kg/ha and Boron 2 kg/ha),  $F_3 = S_{60kg}B_{3kg/ha}$  (Sulphur 60 kg/ha and Boron 3 kg/ha) and Factor B: Four types of mulch viz.  $M_0$  = No mulch and no irrigation,  $M_1$  = Black polythene,  $M_2$  = Water hyacinth and  $M_3$  Rice straw. The two factors experiment was laid out following Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. An area of 390 m<sup>2</sup> was divided into three equal blocks, representing the replications, each containing 16 plots. Thus, the total numbers of unit plots were 48. Each measuring 1 m x 0.8 m (0.8 m<sup>2</sup>). The treatment combinations of the experiment were assigned at random into 16 plots of each at 3 replications. The distance retained between two plots was 20 cm and between blocks was 20 cm. Black polythene was spread on the plot just before transplanting where water hyacinth and rice straw were used as mulch materials just a week after transplant.

### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

The data obtained for different parameters were statistically analyzed by MSTAT-C computer package. The significance of the difference among the treatment combinations means was compared by LSD test at 5% level of probability [15].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Plant Height (cm)

Results showed significant differences on plant height with the application of different levels of sulphur and boron fertilizers combination at 15, 30, 45 and 60 day after transplanting (DAT) of onion plants (Table 1). At 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT, the highest plant heights (18.56 cm, 28.70 cm, 39.35 cm and 53.38 cm, respectively). At 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT shortest plant heights (15.62 cm, 23.81 cm, 33.66 cm and 47.88 cm) was obtained from the treatment  $F_0$  (control). Similar results were also reported by Paul et al. [16]. On the other hand, at 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT, the maximum plant heights (18.23 cm, 27.96 cm, 38.77 cm and 52.51 cm, respectively) were recorded from  $M_1$  whereas minimum plant heights (16.27 cm, 27.75 cm, 34.99 cm and 48.85 cm respectively) were recorded from  $M_0$  (Table 2). Combined effect of sulphur and boron with different mulch materials also showed significant difference on the plant height of onion at 30, 45 and 60 DAT (Table 3). At 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT, the longest plant heights (19.58 cm,

29.92 cm, 41.00 cm and 55.54 cm) were recorded from the  $F_3M_1$  treatment ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  and  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$  with black polythene mulch) while, the shortest plant heights (14.16 cm, 22.67 cm, 31.29 cm and 47.13 cm, respectively) were obtained from  $F_0M_0$ .

### 3.2 Number of Leaves per Plant

Significant variation was observed in the average no. of leaves per plant due to the effect of different combination of sulphur and boron fertilizer at different growth stage (Table 1). At 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT, the largest no. of leaves (2.94, 6.26, 6.26 and 10.47, respectively) was recorded from treatment ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  and  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$ ). At 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT, Minimum no. of leaves (2.44, 4.06, 4.06 and 7.45, respectively) were obtained from the treatment  $F_0$  (control). Similar results were reported by Paul et al. [16]. At 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT, the maximum no. of leaves (2.85, 5.98, 7.97 and 10.19, respectively) was recorded from  $M_1$  where minimum no. of leaves (2.51, 4.44, 5.77 and 7.93, respectively) was recorded from  $M_0$  (Table 2). Combined effect of sulphur and boron with different mulch materials also showed significant difference on the no. of leaves of onion (Table 3). At 15, 30, 45 and 60 DAT, the maximum no. of leaves (3.08, 7.12, 9.22 and 11.47) were recorded from the  $F_3M_1(S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  and  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$  with black polythene mulch) treatment while, the minimum no. of leaves (2.24, 3.84, 4.92 and 6.90, respectively) from  $F_0M_0$ .

#### 3.2.1 Bulb length (cm)

The effect of different combination of S and B treatments on the bulb length per plant of onion was found to be significant at harvest (Table 4). The maximum bulb length (4.83 cm) was found from the  $F_3$  treatment ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  +  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$ ) and which was statistically different from all other treatments. The minimum bulb length (2.62 cm) was recorded from the control treatment  $F_0$ . The effect of different mulching treatments on the bulb length of onion per plant was also found to be significant at harvest (Table 5). The highest bulb length (4.52 cm) was recorded from the treatment of  $M_1$  (black polythene mulch), whereas the lowest bulb length (2.99 cm) was obtained from control ( $M_0$ ) treatment. Combined effect of sulphur and boron with different mulch materials showed significant difference in bulb length as well (Table 6). The longest bulb length (5.51 cm) was found from the treatment of  $F_3M_1$  ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  and  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$  with black polythene mulch).

And the shortest bulb length (2.25 cm) was found from the  $F_0M_0$  ( $S_{0\text{kg/ha}}$  and  $B_{0\text{kg/ha}}$  with no mulch and no irrigation) treatment combination.

#### 3.2.2 Bulb diameter (cm)

The variation in diameter of bulb due to different doses of sulphur and boron combination treatments was found statistically significant (Table 4). The maximum bulb diameter (6.00 cm) was found from the  $F_3$  treatment ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  +  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$ ) and the minimum bulb diameter (3.98 cm) was recorded from the  $F_0$  treatment (control). All the treatments were statistically different from each other. This might be attributed to the maximum diameter of bulb due to S and B application which in return increased the accumulation of carbohydrates as reported by the Nasreen et al. [17]. Mulching conserve soil moisture and regulate soil temperature thus induce rapid growth of onion plant and proper development of onion bulb resulting in higher bulb diameter [18]. In this study our results confirmed that mulching had significant effect on diameter of onion bulb (Table 5). The largest bulb diameter (5.74 cm) was recorded in  $M_1$  treatment (black polythene mulch) whereas the lowest bulb diameter (4.33 cm) was obtained from control treatment ( $M_0$ ). Akter [19] stated similar result by using mulch materials. He observed that application of black polythene mulch produce the largest bulb diameter compared to other mulches. Combined effect of sulphur and boron with different mulch materials also showed significant difference on the diameter of onion bulb (Table 6). As the largest bulb diameter (6.68 cm) was recorded with  $F_3M_1$  treatment ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  and  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$  with black polythene mulch) which was statistically similar with  $F_3M_2$  treatment (6.44 cm). On the other hand, the lowest bulb diameter (3.67 cm) was obtained from  $F_0M_0$  treatment which was statistically similar to  $F_0M_3$  treatment (3.85).

#### 3.2.3 Neck diameter (cm)

Non-significant variation was observed in diameter of neck among the different combinations of sulphur and boron treatments (Table 4). The maximum neck diameter (1.31 cm) was obtained from  $F_3$  treatment ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}}$  +  $B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$ ), whereas the minimum neck diameter (1.09 cm) was recorded from the control  $F_0$ . No significant effect on neck diameter was observed with the mulching treatments (Table 5). The maximum neck diameter (1.26 cm) was obtained from  $M_1$  (black polythene mulch) treatment, whereas the minimum neck diameter (1.13 cm)

was recorded from  $M_0$  treatment. The combined effect of S and B with mulch was also found to be statistically non-significant in this respect (Table 6). Maximum neck diameter of bulb (1.42 cm) was obtained from the  $F_3 M_1$  treatment ( $S_{60\text{kg/ha}} + B_{3\text{kg/ha}}$  with black polythene mulch) and minimum diameter of neck (1.03 cm) was found from the combination of  $F_0 M_0$  treatment.

### 3.3 Dry Matter Content of Bulb (%)

Percentage of bulb's dry matter content was significantly influenced by the effect of different combination of sulphur and boron treatments (Table 7). The largest dry matter content (15.98%) was found from  $F_3$  treatment and the lowest (11.26%) was recorded from  $F_0$  treatment. Rate of photosynthesis increased with increasing chlorophyll content level. For this reason higher amount of dry matter accumulated in onion bulb. Mulching had significant effect on dry matter content of bulb (Table 8). The highest dry matter content (15.49%) was found from the  $M_1$  treatment followed by the treatment of  $M_2$  (14.53%). And lowest dry matter content (11.86%) was recorded from  $M_0$  treatment. Akter [19] confirmed our findings as he stated that black polythene mulch gave the highest dry matter content of bulb compared to water hyacinth and straw mulch. Dry matter content % of bulb influenced was greatly by the combined application of sulphur and boron with different mulch materials (Table 9). The highest dry matter content (17.62%) was found from the  $F_3 M_1$  treatment combination whereas the lowest (10.52%) was found in the  $F_0 M_0$  treatment.

### 3.4 Dry Matter Content of Leaf

The effect of S and B fertilizers was found to be statistically significant on the dry matter content % of leaf (Table 7). The plants grown under  $F_3$  treatment gave maximum dry matter content of leaf (24.74%) followed by  $F_2$  treatment. The minimum dry matter content (15.02%) of leaves was found in control treatment. The content of dry matter in leaf was significantly influenced by the different types of mulch (Table 8). The plants grown under black polythene ( $M_1$ ) treatment gave maximum dry matter content of leaf (23.35%) followed by water hyacinth  $M_2$  (21.68%) and finally the rice straw  $M_3$  (20.40%). The minimum dry matter content (17.38%) of leaves was found in control

treatment (Table 8). Similar result was found in a study carried out by Azam [20]. Dry matter content of leaf (%) was greatly influenced by the application of S and B combination with different mulch materials (Table 9). The highest dry matter content of leaf (27.48%) was found from the  $F_3 M_1$  treatment, followed by  $F_3 M_2$ , whereas the lowest dry matter content of leaf (13.42%) was found from  $F_0 M_0$  treatment.

#### 3.4.1 Individual bulb weight (g)

From the present research work, it was observed that there was significant variation among the treatments in respect to fresh weight of onion bulb due to different of sulphur and boron treatment application (Table 7). The maximum bulb weight (39.93 g) was obtained from  $F_3$  whereas lowest bulb weight (31.05 g) was obtained from  $F_0$ . From the above result, it was noted that combined use of S and B increased nutrient availability to plants and much bulb formation occurred. The available soil nutrients supported proper vegetative growth by producing succulent bulb with more protoplasm in the cells in comparison to less available nutrients in onion. Paul et al. [16] also found that combined effect of 1 kg B and 30 kg S/ha produced large onion bulb (24.53 g) compared to other treatments. The application of different mulch materials also significantly influenced the individual bulb weight of onion (Table 8). The highest weight of individual bulb (39.05 g) was found from the  $M_1$  treatment followed by the water hyacinth  $M_2$  (36.78 g) treatment. On the other hand, the lowest bulb weight (32.93 g) was obtained from  $M_0$  treatment. In case of black polythene mulch, plants get sufficient moisture and higher temperature. The mulching favors the reduction of evaporation leading to higher soil moisture content, a reduction in weed growth and the decomposition of added mulches might have also contributed to increase the supply of nutrients and moisture for overall increase in crop yields [21]. Similar result was also found in a study carried out by Akter, 2017 [19]. Weight of single bulb was greatly influenced by the combination of S and B with different mulch materials treatment (Table 9). The highest single bulb weight (42.40 g) was found from the treatment  $F_3 M_1$  whereas the lowest single bulb weight (28.40 g) was found in the  $F_0 M_0$  treatment.

**Table 1. Effect of sulphur and boron fertilizers on plant height and number of leaves of onion at different days after transplanting (DAT)**

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at different days after transplanting (DAT)				Number of leaves at different days after transplanting (DAT)			
	15 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	15 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT
F <sub>0</sub>	15.62	23.81 c	33.66c	47.88 c	2.44	4.06 d	4.06 d	7.45 d
F <sub>1</sub>	17.40	26.70 b	36.76 b	50.98 b	2.70	5.17 c	6.93 c	9.05 c
F <sub>2</sub>	17.79	27.78 a	38.14 a	51.72 a	2.79	5.73 b	5.73 b	9.83 b
F <sub>3</sub>	18.56	28.70 a	39.35 a	53.37 a	2.94	6.26 a	6.26 a	10.47 a
CV %	9.16	11.97	11.68	12.58	8.41	9.67	9.67	10.98
LSD(0.05)	---	2.21	2.62	2.83	---	0.27	0.27	0.58

**Table 2. Effect of mulch materials on plant height and number of leaves of onion at different days after transplanting (DAT)**

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at different days after transplanting (DAT)				Number of leaves at different days after transplanting (DAT)			
	15 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	15 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT
Mo	16.27	24.75 c	34.99 c	48.85 d	2.51	4.44 d	5.77 d	7.93 d
M1	18.23	27.96 a	38.77 a	52.51 a	2.85	5.98 a	7.97 a	10.19 a
M2	17.67	27.47 a	37.69 a	51.74 b	2.77	5.57 b	7.49 b	9.65 b
M3	17.20	26.81 b	36.46 b	50.85 c	2.72	5.23 c	6.99 c	9.04 c
CV %	9.16	11.97	11.68	12.58	8.41	9.67	9.25	10.98
LSD (0.05)	---	1.02	1.18	0.74	---	0.21	0.34	0.32

**Table 3. Combined effect of sulphur and boron fertilizers with mulch materials on plant height of onion at different days after transplanting (DAT)**

Treatments	Plant height (cm) at different days after transplanting (DAT)				Number of leaves at different days after transplanting (DAT)			
	15 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	15 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	14.16	22.67 k	31.29 m	47.13 j	2.24	3.84 o	4.92 p	6.90 n
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	16.80	24.83 i	36.00 ij	48.79 gh	2.58	4.49 l	5.95 l	8.09 j
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	15.99	23.92 j	35.04 k	47.92 i	2.46	4.02 n	5.36 n	7.61 l
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	15.55	23.83 jk	32.29 l	47.67 ij	2.46	3.91 no	5.15 o	7.19 m
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	16.74	24.75 ij	35.75 jk	48.67 h	2.54	4.31 m	5.61 m	7.88 k
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	17.85	28.00 d	37.79 ef	52.71 cd	2.79	5.79 f	7.84 f	10.17 d
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	17.61	27.42 e	36.88 g	51.75 de	2.75	5.38 h	7.31 h	9.21 f
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	17.41	26.63 f	36.62 h	50.79 e	2.71	5.18 i	6.97 i	8.94 g
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	16.87	25.54 h	36.38 i	49.04 fg	2.58	4.72 k	6.20 k	8.38 i
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	18.69	29.08 b	40.29 bc	53.00 bc	2.96	6.51 c	8.86 c	11.03 b
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	17.93	28.67 c	38.21 d	52.71 cd	2.83	6.08 e	8.18 e	10.47 c
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	17.65	27.83 de	37.67 fg	52.13 d	2.79	5.61 g	7.43 g	9.47 e
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	17.33	26.04 g	36.54 hi	50.55 ef	2.67	4.91 j	6.34 j	8.57 h
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	19.58	29.92 a	41.00 a	55.54 a	3.08	7.12 a	9.22 a	11.47 a
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	19.16	29.88 ab	40.63 b	54.58 b	3.04	6.78 b	9.10 b	11.33 a
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	18.18	28.96 bc	39.25 c	52.83bcd	2.96	6.23 d	8.43 d	10.54 c
CV %	9.16	11.97	11.68	12.58	8.41	9.67	9.25	10.98
LSD (0.05)	---	0.48	0.32	0.42	---	0.14	0.11	0.17

Columns having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability  
 [F<sub>0</sub> - Control, F<sub>1</sub> - (S<sub>20kg/ha</sub> and B<sub>1kg/ha</sub>), F<sub>2</sub> - (S<sub>40kg/ha</sub> and B<sub>2kg/ha</sub>), F<sub>3</sub> - (S<sub>60kg/ha</sub> and B<sub>3kg/ha</sub>), M<sub>0</sub> - No mulch and no irrigation, M<sub>1</sub> - Black polythene, M<sub>2</sub> - Water hyacinth, M<sub>3</sub> - Rice straw]

### 3.4.2 Yield per hectare (t)

Yield per hectare varied significantly due to the effect of different doses of sulphur and boron treatment (Table 10). The highest yield (9.98 t/ha) was obtained from the treatment  $F_3$  whereas the lowest yield (7.76 t/ha) was obtained from the treatment  $F_0$  (Table 10). They said that proper or balance doses of fertilization helped better growth and yield of onion. Yield per hectare was significantly influenced by the effect of mulching treatments (Table 11). The highest yield (9.76 t/ha) was found in  $M_1$  treatment (black polythene) followed by  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  in which the yield recorded (9.20 t/ha). The lowest yield (8.23 t/ha) was found in  $M_0$  treatment. These results confirmed our previous findings. Polythene mulch increased soil temperature and moisture. These synthetic mulches reduce weed problems and certain insect pests and also stimulated higher crop yields by more efficient utilization of soil nutrients [22]. Yield per hectare was significantly influenced by the combined effect of S and B fertilizers with different mulch materials (Table 12). The highest yield (11.21 t/ha) was found from  $F_3M_1$  treatment and followed by  $F_3M_2$  whereas the lowest yield (6.56 t/ha) was found from the  $F_0M_0$  treatment.

### 3.5 Economic Analysis

Input costs for land preparation, manure, mulching, irrigation and manpower required for all the operations from seed sowing to harvesting of onion were recorded as per experimental plot

and converted into cost per hectare. Price of onion was considered as per market rate. The economic analysis presented under the following headings.

### 3.6 Gross Income

The combination of different manure and mulching showed different value in terms of gross return under the trial (Table 13). The highest gross return (Tk. 3, 36, 300) was obtained from the treatment combination  $F_3M_1$ . The lowest gross return (Tk. 1, 31, 200) was obtained from  $F_0M_0$  treatment.

### 3.7 Net Return

In case of net return, different treatment combination showed different concentration of net return. The highest net return (Tk. 1, 88, 934) was found from  $F_3M_1$  treatment and the second highest net return (Tk. 1, 38, 228) was obtained from  $F_1M_1$  treatment. The lowest (Tk. 25,966) net return was obtained  $F_0M_0$  treatment (Table 13).

### 3.8 Benefit Cost Ratio

The highest benefit cost ratio (2.28) was noted from the treatment combination of  $F_3M_1$  and the lowest benefit cost ratio (1.25) was obtained from  $F_0M_0$  treatment (Table 13). From economic point of view, it is apparent from the above results that  $F_3M_1$  treatment was more profitable treatment combination than the rest of the combinations.

**Table 4. Effect of sulphur and boron fertilizer on the bulb length, bulb diameter and neck diameter of onion**

Treatments	Bulb length (cm)	Bulb diameter (cm)	Neck diameter (cm)
$F_0$	2.62 d	3.98 d	1.09
$F_1$	3.78 c	5.01 c	1.16
$F_2$	4.32 b	5.52 b	1.24
$F_3$	4.83 a	6.00 a	1.31
CV %	11.45	10.62	8.66
LSD (0.05)	0.137	0.119	---

**Table 5. Effect of mulch materials on the bulb length, bulb diameter and neck diameter of onion**

Treatments	Bulb length (cm)	Bulb diameter (cm)	Neck diameter (cm)
$M_0$	2.99 d	4.33 d	1.13
$M_1$	4.52 a	5.74 a	1.26
$M_2$	4.16 b	5.36 b	1.22
$M_3$	3.88 c	5.09 c	1.18
CV %	11.45	10.62	8.66
LSD (0.05)	0.1622	0.134	---



**Table 6. Combined effect of S and B fertilizer with different mulch materials on the bulb length, bulb diameter and neck diameter of onion**

Treatments	Bulb length (cm)	Bulb diameter (cm)	Neck diameter (cm)
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	2.25 o	3.67 n	1.03
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	3.04 k	4.40 jk	1.12
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	2.68 m	4.01 lm	1.10
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	2.49 n	3.85 mn	1.10
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	2.81 l	4.21 kl	1.11
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	4.50 e	5.62 de	1.20
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	4.02 g	5.18 fg	1.19
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	3.80 h	5.03 gh	1.15
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	3.31 j	4.62 ij	1.17
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	5.03 c	6.26 bc	1.31
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	4.73 d	5.81 d	1.23
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	4.23 f	5.39 ef	1.22
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	3.61 i	4.83 hi	1.19
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	5.51 a	6.68 a	1.42
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	5.21 b	6.44 ab	1.37
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	4.99 c	6.07 c	1.25
CV %	11.45	10.62	8.66
LSD (0.05)	0.124	0.239	---

Columns having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability

[F<sub>0</sub> - Control, F<sub>1</sub> - (S<sub>20</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>1</sub>kg/ha), F<sub>2</sub> - (S<sub>40</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>2</sub>kg/ha), F<sub>3</sub> - (S<sub>60</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>3</sub>kg/ha), M<sub>0</sub> - No mulch and no irrigation, M<sub>1</sub> - Black polythene, M<sub>2</sub> - Water hyacinth, M<sub>3</sub> - Rice straw]

**Table 7. Effect of sulphur and boron fertilizer on dry matter content % of bulb, dry matter content % of leaf and weight (g) of individual bulb**

Treatments	Dry matter content of bulb (%)	Dry matter content of leaf (%)	Individual bulb weight (g)
F <sub>0</sub>	11.26 d	15.02 d	31.05 d
F <sub>1</sub>	13.51 c	20.39 c	35.50 c
F <sub>2</sub>	14.88 b	22.66 b	37.95 b
F <sub>3</sub>	15.98 a	24.74 a	39.93 a
CV %	9.54	7.56	13.37
LSD (0.05)	0.65	0.85	1.16

**Table 8. Effect of mulch materials on the dry matter content % of bulb, dry matter content % of leaf and weight (g) of individual bulb**

Treatments	Dry matter content of bulb (%)	Dry matter content of leaf (%)	Individual bulb weight (g)
M <sub>0</sub>	11.86 d	17.38 d	32.93 d
M <sub>1</sub>	15.49 a	23.35 a	39.05 a
M <sub>2</sub>	14.53 b	21.68 b	36.78 b
M <sub>3</sub>	13.75 c	20.40 c	35.67 c
CV %	9.54	7.56	13.37
LSD (0.05)	0.49	0.76	0.91

**Table 9. Combined effect of S and B fertilizer with different mulch materials on dry matter content % of bulb, Dry matter content % of leaf and weight (g) of individual bulb**

Treatments	Dry matter content of bulb (%)	Dry matter content of leaf (%)	Individual bulb weight (g)
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	10.52 l	13.42 k	28.40 j
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	12.11 i	17.28 i	34.80 g
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	11.41 j	15.02 j	30.73 i
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	10.98 k	14.36 j	30.27 i
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	11.55 j	17.01 i	32.53 h
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	15.34 e	23.13 e	37.47 de
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	13.73 g	21.34 f	36.53 ef
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	13.43 g	20.10 g	35.47 f
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	12.55 h	19.00 h	35.33 fg
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	16.89 b	25.52 bc	41.53 bc
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	15.84 d	24.25 d	37.87 d
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	14.23 f	21.88 f	37.07 de
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	12.80 h	20.10 g	35.47 f
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	17.62 a	27.48 a	42.40 a
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	17.13 b	26.13 b	41.88 b
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	16.36 c	25.26 c	39.87 c
CV %	9.54	7.56	13.37
LSD (0.05)	0.30	0.70	0.46

Columns having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability.

[F<sub>0</sub> - Control, F<sub>1</sub> - (S<sub>20kg/ha</sub> and B<sub>1kg/ha</sub>), F<sub>2</sub> - (S<sub>40kg/ha</sub> and B<sub>2kg/ha</sub>), F<sub>3</sub> - (S<sub>60kg/ha</sub> and B<sub>3kg/ha</sub>), M<sub>0</sub> - No mulch and no irrigation, M<sub>1</sub> - Black polythene, M<sub>2</sub> - Water hyacinth, M<sub>3</sub> - Rice straw]

**Table 10. Effect of Sulphur and boron fertilizers on the yield of onion per plot and per hectare**

Treatments	Yield per plot (kg)	Yield per ha (t)
F <sub>0</sub>	0.62 d	7.76 d
F <sub>1</sub>	0.71 c	8.88 c
F <sub>2</sub>	0.76 b	9.49 b
F <sub>3</sub>	0.80 a	9.98 a
CV %	11.42	12.43
LSD (0.05)	31.40	0.32

**Table 11. Effect of mulches on yield per plot and per hectare**

Treatments	Yield per plot (kg)	Yield per ha (t)
M <sub>0</sub>	0.66 d	8.23 d
M <sub>1</sub>	0.78 a	9.76 a
M <sub>2</sub>	0.74 b	9.20 b
M <sub>3</sub>	0.71 c	8.92 c
CV %	11.42	12.43
LSD (0.05)	18.14	0.21

**Table 12. Combined effect of S and B fertilizers with different mulch materials on yield per plot and per hectare**

Treatments	Yield per plot (kg)	Yield per ha (t)
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	0.57 j	6.56 h
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	0.70 g	8.70 fg
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	0.61 i	7.68 gh
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	0.61 i	7.37 gh
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	0.65 h	8.13 g
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	0.75 de	9.37 cde
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	0.73 ef	9.13 de
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	0.71 f	8.87 e
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	0.71 fg	8.83 ef
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	0.83 b	10.38 bc
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	0.76 d	9.47 cd
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	0.74 e	9.27 de
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	0.71 f	8.87 e

Treatments	Yield per plot (kg)	Yield per ha (t)
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	0.85 a	11.21 a
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	0.84 ab	10.50 b
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	0.80 c	9.97 c
CV %	11.42	12.43
LSD (0.05)	7.83	0.42

Columns having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability.

[F<sub>0</sub>- Control, F<sub>1</sub>- (S<sub>20</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>1</sub>kg/ha), F<sub>2</sub>- (S<sub>40</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>2</sub>kg/ha), F<sub>3</sub>- (S<sub>60</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>3</sub>kg/ha), M<sub>0</sub>- No mulch and no irrigation, M<sub>1</sub>- Black polythene, M<sub>2</sub>- Water hyacinth, M<sub>3</sub>- Rice straw]

**Table 13. Cost and return of onion cultivation as influenced by fertilizers and mulching**

Treatments	Total cost of production (Tk)	Yield (ton/ha)	Gross return (Tk/ha)	Net return (Tk/ha)	Benefit cost ratio
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	105,235	6.56	1,31,200	25,966	1.25
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	130,513	8.70	2,61,000	130,487	2.00
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	114,784	7.68	1,92,000	77,216	1.67
F <sub>0</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	119,278	7.37	1,84,250	64,972	1.54
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	117,593	8.13	1,62,600	45,007	1.38
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	142,872	9.37	2,81,100	138,228	1.97
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	127,143	9.13	2,28,250	101,107	1.80
F <sub>1</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	131,637	8.87	2,21,750	90,113	1.68
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	119,840	8.83	1,76,600	56,760	1.47
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	145,119	10.38	3,11,400	166,281	2.15
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	129,390	9.47	2,36,750	107,360	1.83
F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	133,884	9.27	2,31,750	97,866	1.73
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>0</sub>	122,087	8.87	1,77,400	55,313	1.45
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>1</sub>	147,366	11.21	3,36,300	188,934	2.28
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub>	131,637	10.50	2,62,500	130,863	1.99
F <sub>3</sub> M <sub>3</sub>	136,131	9.97	2,49,250	113,119	1.83

[F<sub>0</sub>- Control, F<sub>1</sub>- (S<sub>20</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>1</sub>kg/ha), F<sub>2</sub>- (S<sub>40</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>2</sub>kg/ha), F<sub>3</sub>- (S<sub>60</sub>kg/ha and B<sub>3</sub>kg/ha), M<sub>0</sub>- No mulch and no irrigation, M<sub>1</sub>- Black polythene, M<sub>2</sub>- Water hyacinth, M<sub>3</sub>- Rice straw]

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Considering our findings it could be concluded that combined application of 60 kg sulphur and 3 kg of boron per hectare was found to be the best for higher onion yield. Successful onion production is possible by using mulches, especially black polythene mulch. The highest gross return (Tk. 3, 36, 300/ha), net return (Tk. 1, 88, 934/ha) and benefit cost ration (2.28) was obtained from the treatment combination F<sub>3</sub>M<sub>1</sub> (S<sub>60kg/ha</sub> + B<sub>3kg/ha</sub> with black polythene mulch). Therefore, F<sub>3</sub>M<sub>1</sub> treatment combination is the best for achieving the highest growth, yield, and economic benefit of onion.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. FAO, Production year book, food and agriculture organization (FAO), Rome, Italy; 2016.  
Available:www.fao.org/statistics/en
2. MoA, statistical yearbook of agricultural of Bangladesh. Statistics division, ministry of agriculture, Govt. of the peoples republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 2016;119.  
DOI: org/ 10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.004.
3. Anonymous. Monthly statistical bulletin of Bangladesh. Bangladesh bureau of statistics. Ministry, Govt. of the people's republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 1998;54.
4. BBS. Year book of agricultural statistics - 2014. 26<sup>th</sup> series. Bangladesh bureau of statistics, statistics and informatics division. ministry of planning. Govt. of the people's republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. 2016;8.
5. Singh D, Pandey RN. Effect of applied sulphur on dry matter yield and sulphur uptake by onion in different soil series of Delhi. Ann. Agril. Res. 2005;16(3):348-350.
6. Kumar A, Singh O. Effect of sulphur deficiency on plant growth and yield of onion. Indian J. Agric. Res. 1995;28:127-130.
7. Jaggi R, Dixit S. Onion (*Allium cepa*) responses to sulphur in representative vegetable growing soils of Kangra Valley of Himachal Pradesh. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 1999;69:289-291.
8. Vitosh ML, Warncke DD, Lucas RE. Secondary and micronutrients for vegetables and field crops. Crop Soil Sci. 2001;5:18-36.
9. Verma DP, Sharma BR, Chadha APS, Bajpai HK, Bhadauria UPS. Effect of boron in soil on physiological and biochemical characteristics, yield and quality of onion. Adv. Plant Sci. 2005;9(2):39-41.
10. FAO, Crop Water Information: Onion; 2013.  
Available:www.fao.org/water/cropinfo\_onions
11. Islam KS, Miah MHA, Ahmed SU. Effect of mulch and different levels of n and k on the growth and yield of onion. Progress. Agric. 2010;21(1-2):39-46.
12. Inusah IYB, Alexander NW, Yirzagla J, Mawunya M, Haruna M. Effects of different mulches on the yield and productivity of drip irrigated onions under tropical conditions. Int. J. Adv. Agric. Res. 2013; 6(2):133-140.
13. Islam MN, Ahmed SU, Hossain MM, Chowdhury S. Effect of mulch and bulb size on growth of onion. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 2002;5:648-650.
14. Khatun K, Mostarin T, Haq ME, Afsun J, Habiba MU, Hossain MM. Combined effect of zinc and boron with fruit thinning on the quality seed production of tomato. Asian Plant Research Journal. 2020;5(2):8-24.
15. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2nd Edn.). John Willey and Sons, Singapore. 1984;28-92.
16. Paul JK, Halder BC, Khan MA. Effect of boron and sulphur on the growth and yield of onion. J. Sci. Technol. 2007;5:60-66.
17. Nasreen S, Yousuf MN, Mamun ANM, Brahma S, Haque MM. Response of garlic to zinc, boron and manure application. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 2009;34(2):239-245.
18. Jamil M, Munir M, Qasim M, Jalal B, Rehman K. Effect of different types of mulches and their duration on the growth and yield of garlic. Intl. J. Agric. Biol. 2005;7(4):588-591.
19. Akter M. Effect of manures and mulches on the growth and yield of onion. M.S. thesis. Dept. of Horticulture. SAU. Dhaka. 2017;37-43.
20. Azam MG. Effect of different mulches on growth and yield of onion. M.S. thesis.

- Dept. of Crop Botany. BAU. Mymensingh. 2005;22-29.
21. Suresh CH. Studies on effect of organics on seed yield and quality in onion. MS thesis. Dept. of seed science and technology. DharwadUniversity of agricultural science. Dharwad; 2007.
22. Kashi A, Hosseinzadeh S, Babalar M. Lessani H. Effect of black polyethylene mulch and calcium nitrate application on growth, yield of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*). J. Sci. Tech. Agric. Nat. Res. 2004; 7:1-10.

© 2021 Bappy et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/65621>