



Fixed Point Results in Complete Random Cone Metric Spaces Over Banach Algebra

K. Manoj ^{a*} and R. Jahir Hussain ^a

^aPG & Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous) (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2023/v19i7676

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98646>

Received: 05/02/2023

Accepted: 10/04/2023

Published: 20/04/2023

Original Research Article

Abstract

We define fixed point results in Random cone metric space (RCMS) over Banach algebra. Also we give related corollaries and illustrate the examples.

Keywords: Banach algebra; random cone metric space (RCMS); random fixed point (FP); cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 47H09, 47H10.

1 Introduction

A cone metric spaces over Banach algebras was first developed by Liu and Xu[1]. Itoh[2] used random differential equations to demonstrate random fixed point theorems in Banach spaces. In Cone random metric space, fixed

*Corresponding author: E-mail: manojguru542@gmail.com;

point outcomes were constructed by Smriti Mehta et al.[3]. By utilising complete cone metric spaces, Seong-Hoon Cho[4] established the idea of C-class functions and cyclic (α, β) -admissible mappings in Banach algebras. Also some important paper for references here [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

In this paper, we use reference article [4, 13] for Random cone metric spaces (RCMS) instead of cone metric spaces. In this work unique fixed point is referred to as “UFP” and fixed point is referred to as “FP”.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let (M, d_B) be a RCMS and Φ set of all continuous family of mapping $\zeta : \text{int}(\mathcal{P}) \cup \text{int}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{int}(\mathcal{P}) \cup \{0\}$ it satisfies $\zeta^{-1}(0) = 0$ and $\zeta(t) \ll t$ for all $t \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$.

Definition 2.2. Let (M, d_B) be a RCMS and $\{x_n(\omega)\} \subset \Omega \times M$ be a sequence and $x(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$, it satisfy the following:

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = x(\omega) \Leftrightarrow \forall r \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$, there is $\mathbb{N} : \forall n > \mathbb{N}, r - d_B(x_n(\omega), x(\omega)) \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$.
2. $\{x_n(\omega)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence $\Leftrightarrow \forall r \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$, there is $\mathbb{N}, \forall n, m > \mathbb{N}, r - d_B(x_n(\omega), x_m(\omega)) \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$.

Note that if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_B(x_n(\omega), x(\omega)) = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = x(\omega)$. Converse is also true when \mathcal{P} normal cone is. If \mathcal{P} is normal then $x_n(\omega)$ is a Cauchy in $\Omega \times M \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_B(x_n(\omega), x(\omega)) = 0$.

Definition 2.3. Suppose Ψ is set of all continuous family of function $\xi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ such that ξ is strictly increasing, $x < y \Leftrightarrow \xi(x) < \xi(y)$ and if $\xi^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0$, if $\xi(x) \leq \xi(y) \implies x \leq y$.

Definition 2.4. Suppose \mathcal{C} is set of all continuous family of function $f : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ such that $\forall s, t \in \mathcal{P}, f(s, t) \leq s$, and if $f(s, t) = t \implies$ Either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$. Then $f \in \mathcal{C}$ is a C-class function.

Definition 2.5. Define $\alpha, \beta : \Omega \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, where M is a non-empty set. $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ is cyclic (α, β) -admissible mapping if

1. $\alpha(x(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}, x \in M \implies \beta(Tx(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$.
2. $\beta(x(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}, x \in M \implies \alpha(Tx(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$.

3 Main Result

Theorem 3.1. Consider a complete RCMS (M, d_B) and let $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ be such that

$$\xi(d_B(Tx(\omega), Ty(\omega))) \leq f(\xi(d_B(x(\omega), y(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(x(\omega), y(\omega)))) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x(\omega), y(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ with $\alpha(x(\omega))\beta(y(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, where $f \in \mathcal{C}, \xi \in \Psi, \zeta \in \Phi$. Additionally, the following are fulfilled

- (i) T is cyclic (α, β) -admissible.
- (ii) $\exists x_0(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ such that $\alpha(x_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta(x_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (iii) Either T is continuous or if $x_n(\omega) \subset \Omega \times M$ is a sequence with $\beta(x_n(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $x(\omega)$ is a cluster point of $\{x_n(\omega)\}$, then $\beta(x(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$.

After that, T has FP. As well, if

$$\alpha(x(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \beta(x(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \tag{3.2}$$

Likewise, T has a UFP.

Proof. Consider $\{x_n(\omega)\}$ in $\Omega \times M$ by $x_n(\omega) = Tx_{n-1}(\omega) = T^n x_0(\omega)$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, if it exist N such that $x_N(\omega) = x_{N+1}(\omega)$ is a FP of T . As a result, we presume $x_n(\omega) \neq x_{n+1}(\omega) \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

For any $x_0(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$, then by (α, β) -admissible mapping we get $\alpha(x_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, it implies that $\beta(Tx_0(\omega)) - e = \beta(x_1(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$. Again if $x_1(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$, then by (α, β) -admissible mapping we get $\alpha(x_2(\omega)) - e = \alpha(Tx_1(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, it implies that $\beta(Tx_2(\omega)) - e = \beta(x_3(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, inductively we have $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\alpha(x_{2n}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \beta(x_{2n+1}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly, $\beta(x_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}, \alpha(x_1(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$. On continuing this process we have

$$\beta(x_{2n}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \alpha(x_{2n+1}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence

$$\alpha(x_n(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \beta(x_n(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \tag{3.5}$$

Since, $\alpha(x_{n+1}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta(x_n(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x_{n+1}(\omega))\beta(x_n(\omega)) - \alpha(x_{n+1}(\omega)) - \beta(x_n(\omega)) + e \\ = (\alpha(x_{n+1}(\omega)) - e)(\beta(x_n(\omega)) - e) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Also,

$$\alpha(x_{n+1}(\omega)) + \beta(x_n(\omega)) - 2e = (\alpha(x_{n+1}(\omega)) - e)(\beta(x_n(\omega)) - e) \tag{3.7}$$

Hence,

$$\alpha(x_{n+1}(\omega))\beta(x_n(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \forall n = 1, 2, 3 \dots \tag{3.8}$$

From equation (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d_B(x_n(\omega), x_{n+1}(\omega))) &= \xi(d_B(Tx_{n-1}(\omega), Tx_n(\omega))) \\ &\leq f(\xi(d_B(x_{n-1}(\omega), x_n(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(x_{n-1}(\omega), x_n(\omega)))) \\ &\leq \xi(d_B(x_{n-1}(\omega), x_n(\omega))) \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Because ξ is increasing,

$$d_B(x_n(\omega), x_{n+1}(\omega)) \leq d_B(x_{n-1}(\omega), x_n(\omega)) \tag{3.10}$$

Hence $\{d_B(x_n(\omega), x_{n+1}(\omega))\}$ is decreasing and \mathcal{P} is regular $\exists s \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_B(x_{n-1}(\omega), x_n(\omega)) = s \tag{3.11}$$

Then

$$s \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P}) \cup \{0\} \tag{3.12}$$

Assume $s \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$. Take limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.9) and using continuity of f, ξ and ζ

$$f(\xi(s), \zeta(s)) = \xi(s) \tag{3.13}$$

Either if, $\xi(s) = 0$ or $\zeta(s) = 0$. Hence $s = 0$, which is a contradiction to our assumption

$$s \notin \text{int}(\mathcal{P}) \tag{3.14}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_B(x_n(\omega), x_{n-1}(\omega)) = 0 \tag{3.15}$$

We demonstrate $\{x_n(\omega)\}$ is a Cauchy. Suppose assume $\{x_n(\omega)\}$ is not Cauchy.

By lemma 6 (SH Cho 2018) there exist $r \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$, a subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}(\omega)\}$ and $\{x_{n(k)}(\omega)\}$ of $\{x_n(\omega)\}$. Furthermore $m(k)$ is the smallest index number $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, m(k) > n(k) > k$ from (3.5) we have $\alpha(x_{m(k)})\beta(x_{n(k)}) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ with $x(\omega) = x_{m(k)}(\omega)$ and $y(\omega) = x_{n(k)}(\omega)$, we have

$$\xi(d_B(Tx_{m(k)}(\omega), Tx_{n(k)}(\omega))) \leq f(\xi(d_B(x_{m(k)}(\omega), x_{n(k)}(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(x_{m(k)}(\omega), x_{n(k)}(\omega)))) \tag{3.16}$$

Using continuity of ζ, ξ, f and $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\zeta(r)) - f(\xi(\zeta(r)), \zeta(\zeta(r))) &\in \mathcal{P} \cap (-\mathcal{P}) \\ f(\xi(\zeta(r)), \zeta(\zeta(r))) &= \xi(\zeta(r)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Either $\zeta(\zeta(r)) = 0$ or $\xi(\zeta(r)) = 0$. Thus $\zeta(r) = 0$ and $r = 0$, it is not true for our assumption. Therefore $\{x_n(\omega)\}$ is Cauchy as well as from the completeness

$$x(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) \in \Omega \times M \tag{3.18}$$

T is continuous, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = Tx(\omega)$ and $x(\omega) = Tx(\omega)$. Assume that (iii) hold,

$$\beta x(\omega) - e \in \mathcal{P} \tag{3.19}$$

Because T is (α, β) -admissible, $\alpha(x_n(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$

Using (3.5), it becomes, $\alpha(x_n(\omega))\beta(x(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

From (3.1),

$$\xi(d_B(Tx_{n+1}(\omega), Tx(\omega))) \leq f(\xi(d_B(x_n(\omega), Tx(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(x_n(\omega), x(\omega)))) \tag{3.20}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.20), using continuity of f, ξ and ζ , then $\xi(d_B(x(\omega), Tx(\omega))) \in \mathcal{P} \cap (-\mathcal{P})$.

Thus $\xi(d_B(x(\omega), Tx(\omega))) = 0$

Hence $x(\omega) = Tx(\omega)$.

The Uniqueness Part: Suppose we have some other fixed point $\rho(\omega)$ then from (3.2),

$\alpha(x(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta(\rho(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence $\alpha(x(\omega))\beta(\rho(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$.

From (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega))) &= \xi(d_B(Tx, T\rho)) \\ &\leq f(\xi(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega)))) \\ &\leq \xi(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f(\xi(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega)))) = \xi(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega)))$$

Either $\xi(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega))) = 0$ or $\zeta(d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega))) = 0$.

$\Rightarrow d_B(x(\omega), \rho(\omega)) = 0$ and $x(\omega) = \rho(\omega)$. □

Example 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathcal{P} = \{(\wp_1(\omega), \wp_2(\omega)) \in \mathfrak{A} : \wp_1(\omega), \wp_2(\omega) \geq 0\}$, $\|\Upsilon(\omega)\| = |\wp_1(\omega) + \wp_2(\omega)| \forall \Upsilon = (\wp_1(\omega), \wp_2(\omega)) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Define multiplication $\Upsilon \mathfrak{J}$ of $\Upsilon = (\wp_1(\omega), \wp_2(\omega))$ and $\mathfrak{J} = (\ell_1(\omega), \ell_2(\omega))$,

$$\Upsilon \mathfrak{J} = (\Upsilon_1(\omega) \mathfrak{J}_1(\omega) - \Upsilon_2(\omega) \mathfrak{J}_2(\omega) - \Upsilon_2(\omega) \mathfrak{J}_1(\omega))$$

A unit $e = (0, 1)$, \mathcal{P} is regular cone,

$$\text{int}(\mathcal{P}) = \{(\wp_1(\omega), \wp_2(\omega)) \in \mathfrak{A} : \wp_1(\omega), \wp_2(\omega) > 0\}.$$

Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $d_B : \Omega \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ defined as $d(\Upsilon, \mathfrak{J}) = \max\{|\wp_1(\omega) - w_2(\omega)|, |\wp_2(\omega) - w_1(\omega)|\}$. Then (M, d_B) is a complete RCMS and $d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega)) \in \text{int}(\mathcal{P})$ with $\Upsilon(\omega) \neq \mathfrak{J}(\omega)$. Define $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by

$$T\Upsilon = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{10}\Upsilon, & \Upsilon = (\wp_1(\omega), \wp_2(\omega)) \in \mathcal{P}, \|\Upsilon\| < 1 \\ e^{(\Upsilon_1(\omega), \Upsilon_2(\omega))}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{3}t$ and $\xi(t) = t \forall t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{P}$. Define $\alpha, \beta : M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by

$$\alpha(\Upsilon) = \beta(\Upsilon) = \begin{cases} e, & \Upsilon = (\wp_1, \wp_2) \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \|\Upsilon\| < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $f(s, t) = s - \zeta(s) \forall s, t \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) - \xi(d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \\ &= \frac{17}{30} \max\{|\wp_1(\omega) - \ell_2(\omega)|, |\wp_2(\omega) - \ell_1(\omega)|\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\xi(d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \leq f(\xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega)))$
 $\forall \Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ With $\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\Upsilon(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$.

Let $\Upsilon_n(\omega) = \left| \frac{1}{n+6} \right| + \left| \frac{3}{n+6} \right| \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then $\Upsilon_n \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\|\Upsilon_n\| < 1$. Hence $\beta(\Upsilon_n) - e = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{P}$.
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Upsilon_n = (0, 0)$ and $(0, 0)$ is a cluster point of $\{\Upsilon_n\}$. $\beta(\Upsilon_n) - e = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{P}$.
Hence $(0, 0)$ is a FP of T .

Corollary 3.3. Let M be complete RCMS, define $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\xi(d_B(\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\Upsilon(\omega))T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \leq f(\xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), T\Upsilon(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(\mathfrak{J}(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega)))) \quad (3.21)$$

$\forall \Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$, $\xi \in \Psi$ and $\zeta \in \Phi$. If it holds (i), (ii) and (iii) of theorem (3.1) and equation (3.2). Then T has a UFP.

Corollary 3.4. Let M be complete RCMS, define $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\xi(d_B(\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\Upsilon(\omega))T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \leq f(\xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), T\Upsilon(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(\mathfrak{J}(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega)))) \quad (3.22)$$

$\forall \Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$, $\xi \in \Psi$ and $\zeta \in \Phi$. If it holds (i), (ii) and (iii) of theorem 3.1 and equation (3.2). Then T has a UFP.

4 Applications

Theorem 4.1. Consider a complete RCMS (M, d_B) and let $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ be (α, β) -admissible mapping

$$\xi(d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \leq \xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) - \zeta(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ with $\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\Upsilon(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\xi \in \Psi$ and $\zeta \in \Phi$ such that

$$\zeta(\xi(t)) \leq \zeta(t) \forall t > 0 \quad (4.2)$$

$\Upsilon_0(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ such that $\alpha(\Upsilon_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta(\Upsilon_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose that $\alpha(\Upsilon_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta(\Upsilon_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\Upsilon_0(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ such that
If either

(i) T is continuous or

(ii) if $\{\Upsilon_n(\omega)\} \subset \Omega \times M$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_B(\Upsilon_n(\omega), \Upsilon(\omega)) = 0$ and $\beta(\Upsilon_n(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P} \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then $\beta(\Upsilon(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$.

Then T has a FP in M . If $\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\mathfrak{J}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ for all fixed points $\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega)$ of T , then T has UFP.

Proof. Let $f(s, t) = s - \zeta(s)$, $\forall s, t \in \mathcal{P}$ where $k < \frac{3}{5}$. Then f is the C - class function.
For any $\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ with $\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\Upsilon(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq \xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) - \xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) - \xi(d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \\ & \leq \xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) - \zeta(\xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega)))) - \xi(d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \\ & = f(\xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))), \zeta(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) - \xi(d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))). \end{aligned}$$

This result is same as from (3.1) and also it satisfies all the conditions of theorem (3.1). □

Corollary 4.2. Consider a complete RCMS (M, d_B) and let $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ be (α, β) -admissible mapping

$$d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega)) \leq d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega)) - \zeta(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \quad (4.3)$$

for all $\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$ with $\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\mathfrak{J}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\zeta \in \Phi$.

Suppose that $\alpha(\Upsilon_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\beta(\mathfrak{J}_0(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$, where $\Upsilon_0(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$.

Assume that the condition of (iii) in theorem (3.1) and $\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega))\beta(\mathfrak{J}(\omega)) - e \in \mathcal{P}$ for all fixed points of T . Then it has a UFP of T .

Take $\alpha(\Upsilon(\omega)) = \beta(\mathfrak{J}(\omega)) = e$ in theorem (4.1), it has a following results.

Corollary 4.3. Consider a complete RCMS (M, d_B) and define $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\xi(d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \leq \xi(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) - \zeta(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \quad (4.4)$$

$\forall \Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$, $\xi \in \Psi$ and $\zeta \in \Phi$ with $\zeta(\xi(t)) \leq \zeta(t)$ for all $t > 0$.

Then T has UFP in $\Omega \times M$.

Corollary 4.4. Consider a complete RCMS (M, d_B) and define $T : \Omega \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$d_B(T\Upsilon(\omega), T\mathfrak{J}(\omega)) \leq d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega)) - \zeta(d_B(\Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega))) \quad (4.5)$$

$\forall \Upsilon(\omega), \mathfrak{J}(\omega) \in \Omega \times M$, where $\zeta \in \Phi$. Then T has UFP in $\Omega \times M$.

5 Conclusions

In this article, we discussed fixed point(FP) results in complete random cone metric space via Banach algebra. Also, we gave an important example and corollaries related to our main result.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the editor and referees for their helpful recommendations that helped to improve the manuscript in its current form.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Liu H, Xu dS. Cone metric spaces with Banach algebras and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings. Fixed Point Theory and Applications. 2013;320:1-10.
- [2] Itoh S. Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1979; 67: 261-273.
- [3] Mehta S, Singh A.D, Sanodia P.L, Dhagat V.B. Fixed Point Theorems for Weak Contractions in Cone Random Metric Spaces. Bull.Cal.Math.Soc. 2011; 103:303-310.
- [4] Cho SH. Fixed point theorems in complete cone metric spaces over Banach algebras. Journal of function spaces. 2018; Article ID 9395057: 1-8.
- [5] Aage C.T, Salunke J.N. Fixed points of (ψ, ϕ) -weak contractions in cone metric spaces. Annals of Functional Analysis. 2011;2(1):59-71.

- [6] Beg, I. Random fixed points of random operators satisfying semi contractivity conditions. Math. Japonica. 1997; 46: 151-155.
- [7] Beg I. Approximation of random fixed points in normed spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 2002; 51: 1363-1372.
- [8] Choudhury B. S, Metiya N. Fixed points of weak contractions in cone metric spaces. Nonlinear Analysis.Theory, Methods and Applications. An International Multidisciplinary Journal. 2010;72(3-4):1589–1593.
- [9] Khan M. S, Dhamodharan D, Krishnakumar R, Hojat Ansari A. Cone C-class Function on Some Common Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Type Conditions in Random Cone Metric Space. IJMAA. 2017;5: 2347-1557.
- [10] Maheshwaran K, Jahir Hussain R. Fixed point theorem for (ϕ, \mathfrak{F}) – expansive mappings in cone b-metric space over Banach algebra. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics. 2023;19(5):24-36.
- [11] Rashwan R, Hammad H, Dhamodharan D, Krishnakumar R, Common random fixed point theorems of integral type of Akram contraction mappings in random cone metric spaces. J. Fixed Point Theory. 2018; 7: 1-16.
- [12] Rezapour S, Hambarani R. Some notes on the paper "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings". Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 2008;345(2):719–724.
- [13] Parashar G. Some fixed point theorems in cone random metric space using random operators. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science. 2018; 9:287-291.
DOI:10.26483/ijarcs.v9i3.6122

© 2023 Manoj and Hussain; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98646>